

Tarun Mahant and others vs. State of Himachal Pradesh and another

Cr.MMO No.907 of 2026

19.08.2026 Present Mr. Sudhir Bhatnagar, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Rajan Kahol & Mr. Vishal Panwar, Additional Advocate Generals with Mr. Ravi Chauhan & Mr. Anish Banshtu, Deputy Advocates General, for the respondent-State.

By way of instant petition filed under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, prayer has been made on behalf of the petitioners for quashing of FIR No.11 of 2026, dated 20.04.2026, under Sections 63(d)(iv), 64, 351(2), 61(2), 89 and 3(2)(va) of Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita, registered at Police Station Kullu, District Kullu, Himachal Pradesh as well as consequent proceedings pending in the competent Court of law, on the basis of amicable settlement arrived inter se parties.

Though, compromise placed on record suggests that the parties have resolved to settle their dispute amicably inter se them, but this Court with a view to ascertain the correctness and genuineness of the compromise placed on record, deems it fit to summon respondent No. for the next date of hearing, so that factum with regard to compromise placed on record, is ascertained.

List on **09.09.2026** on which date respondent No. 2 shall remain present in Court. Learned Counsel representing the petitioners undertakes to cause presence of respondent No. 2 on the aforesaid date. In the meanwhile, Mr. Rajan

Kahol, learned Additional Advocate General also to ascertain
the aforesaid factum.

(Sandeep Sharma)
Judge

August 19, 2026
(shankar)