



2026:HHC:39600

IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

COPC No.1317 of 2026

Date of decision:16.09.2026

Banita ...Petitioner

Versus

Sudha Devi & others ...Respondent

Coram:

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Romesh Verma, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting?¹

For the petitioner : Ms. Mamta Garg, Advocate.

For the respondents : Mr. Baldev Singh Negi, Addl. A.G., for respondents No.1 and 2.

Mr. Jitender Sharma, Advocate, vice counsel, for respondent No.3.

Romesh Verma, Judge (oral):

The instant petition has been filed alleging non-compliance of the judgment passed in CWP No. 5226 of 2026, dated 24.04.2026.

2. It is contended by the learned Additional Advocate General that the petitioner is seeking parity on the basis of the judgment passed by this court in *CWP No. 2056 of 2023, titled as Ghanshyam Dass vs. State of H.P. & others*. However, in LPA No. 295 of 2026, titled as *State of H.P. vs. Ghanshyam Das*, the impugned

Whether reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment?



judgment passed by the learned Single Judge has been stayed by the Division Bench of this court on 17.08.2026.

3. It is contended by the learned counsel for the petitioner that, for the time being, the present petition can be disposed of with liberty reserved to the petitioner to revive the instant petition in case the grievance of the petitioner still remains unredressed after the decision in the LPA.

4. Consequently, the petition is disposed of with liberty as prayed for, along with pending application(s), if any.

(Romesh Verma)
Judge

16th September, 2026
(vt)