



IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

Execution Petition No.2047 of 2026

Date of Decision: 18.09.2026

Bhupender Sharma

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Himachal Pradesh & Ors.

... Respondents

Coram:

Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sandeep Sharma, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting? ¹

For the Petitioner: Mr. Anil Kumar Manget & Mr. Rajendera Gulati, Advocates.

For the Respondents: Mr. Rajan Kahol & Mr. Vishal Panwar, Additional Advocates General with Mr. Ravi Chauhan & Mr. Anish Banshtu, Deputy Advocates General.

Sandeep Sharma, Judge(oral):

Mr. Vishal Panwar, learned Additional Advocate General, states that since petitioner failed to submit representation in terms of judgment dated 27.03.2024 passed by the Co-ordinate Bench of this Court in CWP No. 2406 of 2024, titled Bhupender Sharma versus State of Himachal Pradesh & others, needful, in terms of directions contained in aforesaid judgment, could not be done. He states that as and when such representation is filed, same shall be decided expeditiously.

¹ *Whether the reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*



2. In view of the above, petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the present petition at this stage with liberty to file fresh representation within a period of one week in terms of judgment dated 27.03.2024 passed in CWP No. 2406 of 2024, which shall be decided by the competent authority expeditiously, preferably within a period of three weeks. Ordered accordingly. Needless to say, authority concerned, while doing the needful in terms of instant order, shall afford an opportunity of being heard to the petitioner and pass appropriate orders.

**(Sandeep Sharma),
Judge**

September 18, 2026
(sunil)