

IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

Execution Petition No.2065 of 2026

Date of Decision: 16.9.2026

Ritika Devi and Ors.

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Himachal Pradesh and Ors.

.....Respondents

Coram

Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sandeep Sharma, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting?

For the Petitioners: Mr. Mandeep Chandel, Advocate.

For the Respondents: Mr. Rajan Kahol & Mr. Vishal Panwar, Additional Advocates General and Mr. Ravi Chauhan & Mr. Anish Banshtu, Deputy Advocates General.

Sandeep Sharma, J. *(Oral)*

Learned counsel representing the petitioners fairly states that though mandate contained in judgment sought to be executed has been complied with, but till date, consequential benefits/arrears have not been released.

2. Mr. Rajan Kahol, learned Additional Advocate General, having perused order passed in purported compliance of mandate contained in the judgment sought to be executed, states that consequential benefits, if not

already released, shall be released expeditiously, preferably within a period of two months.

3. Consequently, in view of the above, nothing remains to be adjudicated in the present proceedings and present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents to ensure that consequential benefits flowing from the compliance, if not already released, shall be released within a period of two months, failing which petitioners would be at liberty to get the present petition revived so that appropriate action in accordance with law is taken towards implementation of the order/judgment.

September 16, 2026
(manjit)

(Sandeep Sharma),
Judge