

IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

Execution Petition No. 1972 of 2025

Date of Decision: 15.10.2025

Smt. Mehru Devi

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Himachal Pradesh and Ors.

.....Respondents

Coram

**Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sandeep Sharma, Judge.
Whether approved for reporting?**

For the Petitioner: Mr. Lalit Kumar Sehgal, Advocate.

For the Respondents: Mr. Anup Rattan, Advocate General with Mr. Rajan Kahol & Mr. Vishal Panwar, Additional Advocates General and Mr. Ravi Chauhan & Mr. Anish Banshtu, Deputy Advocates General, for the State. Mr. Tek Ram Sharma, Advocate, for respondent No.6.

Sandeep Sharma, J. *(Oral)*

By way of present execution petition, prayer has been made by the petitioner for implementation and execution of order/judgment dated 26.6.2025, passed by this Court in CWP No.3813 of 2024, titled *Smt. Mehru Devi v. State of Himachal Pradesh and Ors.*, whereby afore petition came to be disposed of with a direction to respondents/competent authority to consider and decide case of the petitioner in light of judgment dated 19.5.2022 passed in CWP No. 248 of 2017, titled *State of Himachal*

Pradesh and Ors. v. Girdhari Lal, within a period of four weeks. Since no action, whatsoever, came to be taken at the behest of the respondents pursuant to aforesaid direction, petitioner has approached this Court in the instant proceedings.

2. Mr. Rajan Kahol, learned Additional Advocate General, appearing for the respondents, states that though he has every reason to presume and believe that by now, order/judgment sought to be executed, must have been complied with in its totality, but if not, same would be definitely complied with within a period of two weeks from today.

3. Consequently, in view of the fair stand adopted by Additional Advocate General, this Court sees no reason to keep present petition alive and accordingly, same is disposed of with direction to the respondents to do the needful in terms of judgment alleged to have been violated within a period of two weeks, failing which petitioner would be at liberty to get the present petition revived so that appropriate action in accordance with law is taken towards implementation of the order/judgment.

October 15, 2025

(manjit)

**(Sandeep Sharma),
Judge**