

IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

CWP No.14162 of 2025
Decided on: 20.07.2026

Sube Singh Yadav

...Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

...Respondents

Coram

Hon'ble Mr. Justice Jiya Lal Bhardwaj, Judge

*Whether approved for reporting?*¹

For the petitioner: Mr. Sparsh Bhushan, Advocate.

For the respondents: Mr. Balram Sharma, DSGI, with
Mr. Rajeev Sharma, Advocate, for
respondent No.1.

Mr. Abhinav Mohan Goel,
Advocate, for respondents No.2 to
5.

Jiya Lal Bhardwaj, Judge (Oral)

Learned counsel for respondents No.2 to 5 has produced a copy of order dated 24.06.2026, passed by the Director & Disciplinary Authority, IIM Sirmaur, which is taken on record.

2. A perusal of the aforesaid order reveals that the penalty of removal from service has been imposed upon the petitioner.

3. In the present case, the petitioner had prayed for

¹ *Whether reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*

quashing and setting aside the suspension order dated 26.08.2025 (Annexure P-15) and the order contemplating disciplinary proceedings and further directions to reinstate him with continuity of service and all consequential benefits. Since the disciplinary authority has now passed an order of removal from service against the petitioner, the prayer made in the writ petition has been rendered infructuous and the petitioner, if aggrieved by the order of removal from service is at liberty to challenge the same in accordance with law. It is however made clear that the petitioner is at liberty to also seek subsistence allowance, if not already given to him during the suspension period.

4. Accordingly, the petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous. Pending miscellaneous applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

20th July, 2026

(Anurag)

**(Jiya Lal Bhardwaj)
Judge**