

IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

CWP No.13959 of 2025
Date of Decision: 28.11.2025

Veena Kumari & Ors.

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Himachal Pradesh & Ors.

.....Respondents

Coram

Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sandeep Sharma, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting?

For the Petitioners: Mr. Sanjeev Kumar Suri, Advocate.

For the respondents: Mr. Anup Rattan, Advocate General with Mr. Rajan Kahol, Mr. Vishal Panwar, Additional Advocates General, Mr. Ravi Chauhan & Mr. Anish Banshtu, Deputy Advocates General.

Mr. Susheel Gautam, Advocate, for respondents No.5 to 7.

Sandeep Sharma, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the parties are *ad idem* that case of the petitioners is squarely covered by the judgment dated 19.11.2025 passed by Co-ordinate Bench of this Court in CWP No.9560 of 2025, titled as ***Munisha Dhiman Vs. State of H.P. and others*** along with connected matters.

2. Having perused the averments contained in the petition, especially relief clause vis-à-vis judgment dated 19.11.2025 passed by Coordinate Bench of this Court in ***Munisha Dhiman*** (supra), this Court finds that case of the petitioners is identical to the aforesaid case decided by Coordinate Bench of this Court. Vide aforesaid

judgment, Coordinate Bench of this Court has already dealt with all the points raised in the instant petition and as such, respondents can be directed to decide the case of the petitioners in light of the aforesaid judgment rendered by Co-ordinate Bench of this Court.

3. Consequently, in view of the above, the directions contained in the aforesaid judgment rendered by Coordinate Bench of this Court in ***Munisha Dhiman*** (supra) are ordered to be made mutatis mutandis applicable in the present case for all intents and purposes.

In the aforesaid terms, present petition is disposed of alongwith pending application(s), if any.

November 28, 2025

(sunil)

**(Sandeep Sharma),
Judge**