



Neeta Dhawan Vs. Ashish Kohli

COPC No.973 of 2025

24.11.2025 Present: Mr. Vaibhav Tanwar, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajat Chaudhary, Assistant Advocate General, for the respondents.

Learned Assistant Advocate General has placed on record office instructions dated 15.11.2025 from the Director of School Education, Himachal Pradesh alongwith consideration order dated 13.11.2025 issued by Deputy Director School Education (Elementary), District Mandi. In terms of the office instructions, costs as per previous order was to be handed over to learned counsel for the petitioner on the next date of hearing.

2. When the matter was taken over in the morning session, learned Assistant Advocate General apprised that costs had already been paid to the petitioner by the respondents. Learned counsel for the petitioner disputed petitioner's having received any costs from the respondents.

3. When the matter was taken up post lunch session, a cheque in the sum of Rs.2500/- , drawn in



2025:HHC:40229

the name of the petitioner, was handed over to his learned counsel.

4. Not only incorrect and wrong instructions had been conveyed by the respondents to learned Assistant Advocate General, but also looking at the consideration order passed by the respondents and similar orders passed in several such matters, let the District Attorney of School Education (Elementary), Himachal Pradesh, to attend the hearing of the case tomorrow.

List on **25.11.2025.**

Jyotsna Rewal Dua
Judge

November 24, 2025
(R.Atal)