

**Upender Kumar versus Abhishek Jain and another**

**COPC No.996/2025**

**20.04.2026**

Present: Mr. Chander Narayan Singh and Ms. Shashi Kiran, Advocates, for the petitioner.

Mr. L.N.Sharma, Additional Advocate General, for respondents.

Heard. It is not in dispute that judgment in question rendered on 27.06.2016 has attained finality. According to respondents, the land of the petitioner comprised in Khasra No.4 is required to be acquired and not Khasra No.26 that was mentioned by the petitioner in the writ petition.

It appears that the judgment does not refer to any particular parcel of land. The judgment directs the respondent to complete the acquisition process qua the land belonging to the petitioner. Learned counsel for the petitioner admits the assertion of the respondents and concedes that it is Khasra No.4 belonging to the petitioner that is required to be acquired.

In view of their being no dispute on facts between the parties and the law that land of the petitioner is now required to be acquired in lieu of decision having been rendered in petitioner's favour, let learned Additional Advocate General to have further instructions in the matter for implementing the judgment.

List on 06.05.2026.

**Jyotsna Rewal Dua  
Judge**

**April 20, 2026**  
(yogesh)