

IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

**CWP No. 16121/2026
Decided on: 17.09.2026**

Kuldep Chand

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of H.P. and Anr.

.....Respondents

Coram

Ms. Justice Jyotsna Rewal Dua

Whether approved for reporting?¹

For the petitioner: Mr. Anil Sharma, Advocate.

For the respondents: Ms. Menka Raj Chauhan, Deputy Advocate
General.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua, Judge

Notice. Ms. Menka Raj Chauhan, learned Deputy Advocate General, appears and waives service of notice on behalf of the respondents.

2.. Petitioner's grievance is that his representation at Annexures P-4, seeking applicability of **Shyam Chand & Ors. Vs. State of H.P. and Ors²**. has not been decided till date by the respondents/competent authority.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner would be content in case the respondents/competent authority(s) are directed to consider and decide the aforesaid representation in accordance with law within a fixed-time schedule. Learned Deputy Advocate General is not averse to this prayer.

¹Whether reporters of print and electronic media may be allowed to see the order? Yes.

² LPA NO. 243/2023 decided a/w connected matters on 07.10.2025

4. Having regard to the afore-submissions, but without examining the merits of the matter, this writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents/competent authority to consider and decide the aforesaid representation of the petitioner in accordance with law as well as taking into consideration the above judgment, within a period of six weeks from today. The decision so arrived at shall also be communicated to the petitioners.

5. The writ petition stands disposed of in the above terms, so also the pending miscellaneous application(s), if any.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua
Judge

17th September, 2026
rohit