

Kesho Ram & Ors. Vs. State of H.P. & Ors.

RSA No. 273 of 2014

09.09.2025 Present: Mr. Arun Kumar, Advocate, vice Ms. Vidushi Sharma, Advocate, for the appellants/plaintiffs.

Mr. Raj Kumar Negi, Additional Advocate General, for respondent No. 1/State.

None for respondents No. 2 and 3, though served.

Mr. Ajay Kumar Dhiman, Advocate, for proposed respondent No. 4/applicant in CMP No. 21465 of 2025.

CMP No. 21465 of 2025

Present application has been preferred by the applicant/proposed respondent to be impleaded as party respondent in the case at hand. The test for being impleaded as a party in a pending *lis* in terms of order 1 Rule 10 of CPC has been authoritatively laid down by the Apex Court in (1992) 2 *Supreme Court Cases* 524, titled *Ramesh Hirachand Kundanmal Vs. Municipal Corporation of Greater Bombay & Ors.*

In view of the aforesaid, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the applicant/proposed respondent seeks time to go through the aforesaid judgment in order to enable him to decide as to whether he intends to proceed with the present application or withdraw the same.

As prayed, list for consideration after four weeks.

09th September, 2025
(Shamsh Tabrez)

(Bipin C. Negi)
Judge