

Bindu Bakshi v. State of H.P. & Ors.
CWP No.13245 of 2026

04.08.2026 Present: Mr. Jyotirmay Bhatt, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Rahul Thakur, Deputy Advocate General, for respondents No.1 to 4.

Ms. Tim Saran, Advocate, for respondent No.5.

Issue notice. Mr. Rahul Thakur, learned Deputy Advocate General and Ms. Tim Saran, Advocate, accept notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 4 and respondent No.5, respectively. Notice be issued to respondents No.6 and 7, returnable for 17.09.2026, on taking steps within one week.

List on 17.09.2026.

CMP No.19661 of 2026

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was appointed on outsourced basis as a Computer Operator at Parwanoo. She had lodged a complaint of harassment against the private respondent in which an inquiry was also conducted. He submits that in terms of the impugned transfer order, the petitioner has been ordered to be deputed to Solan which order is punitive in nature for the reason that the petitioner is just an outsourced employee who is getting Rs.19,000/- per month.

He submits that the petitioner had alleged harassment and rather than taking action against the person against whom allegation is made, the petitioner has been transferred to

Solan by way of deputation, which act of the respondents is arbitrary.

This Court is of the considered view that as it is evident from the documents appended with the petition that the petitioner had alleged harassment and some inquiry was conducted into the said act, in case there was any merit in the allegations made by the petitioner, then, it is not the petitioner who is to be made to suffer by transferring her by way of deputation from Parwanoo to Solan. Therefore, till further orders, the operation of transfer order Annexure P-2 is hereby stayed. Respondents are directed to allow the petitioner to continue to serve at Parwanoo.

(Ajay Mohan Goel)
Judge

August 04, 2026
(*Vinod*)