

**Vikas Sharma vs. Hemant Sharma and another
alongwith connected matters**

**LPA no. 388 of 2024 with CMP(M) nos.
1324 and 1325 of 2024**

LPA no. 388 of 2024

30.12.2024 Present: Mr. Neeraj Gupta, Senior Advocate with Mr. Ajeet Pal Singh Jaswal, Advocate, for the appellant.

Mr. R.K Bawa, Senior Advocate with Mr. Nimish Gupta, Advocate, for the respondents.

CMP(M) nos.1324 and 1325 of 2024

Mr. R.K Bawa, Senior Advocate with Mr. Nimish Gupta, Advocate, for the applicant.

Mr. Neeraj Gupta, Advocate, for the respondents.

LPA No. 388 of 2024

List on 25.03.2025.

CMP(M) nos. 1324 and 1325 of 2024

2. These applications have been preferred by the appellants/defendants for condoning the delay in filing the appeal(s) against the order dated 05.03.2024 passed by the learned Single Judge. The said applications have been opposed by filing replies. The delay is of 82 days' in one case and 113 days' in other case.

3. For the reasons given in the applications which are duly supported by the affidavits of the applicants and the fact that the applicants had proposed to engage a counsel at Delhi for filing petition in the apex Court and some

amendments were carried out on 21.05.2024, sufficient cause is sought to be made out. The same has been opposed as such by filing replies.

4. Keeping in view the nominal delay as such, the sufficient cause set out also keeping in view the settled principles of law that each and every day's delay is not to be explained as per the law laid down in *Collector, Land Acquisition, Anantnag v. Smt. Katiji and others, (1987) 2 SCC 107*, we are of the considered opinion that the applications are liable to be allowed. Accordingly, the applications are allowed and delay is condoned.

5. Office to register FAOs and be listed for consideration on **25.03.2025** alongwith LPA no. 388 of 2024.

(G.S. Sandhawalia)
Chief Justice

30th December, 2024
(priti)

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge