



2026:HHC:39699

IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

CWP No.16159 of 2026

Decided on: 17th September, 2026

Krishni Devi

.....**Petitioner**

Versus

State of H.P. and others

.....**Respondents**

Coram

Ms. Justice Jyotsna Rewal Dua

Whether approved for reporting?¹

For the Petitioner: Mr. Abhimanyu Rathor, Advocate.

For the Respondents: Mr. Anup Rattan, Advocate General
with Ms. Menka Raj Chauhan, Deputy
Advocate General.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua, Judge

Notice. Ms. Menka Raj Chauhan, learned
Deputy Advocate General, appears and waives service of
notice on behalf of the respondents.

2. With the consent of learned counsel for the
parties, the matter is heard at this stage.

3. Petitioner seeks applicability of **Kuldeep Singh**
Versus State of Himachal Pradesh and others². It is,
however, seen from the record that the petitioner has not

¹ Whether reporters of print and electronic media may be allowed to see the order? Yes.

² CWP No.9484 of 2024, decided on 10.03.2026



preferred any representation for the redressal of her grievances raised in the writ petition.

4. Confronted with above, learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner would be preferring a representation within two weeks from today. In case such a representation is so made, the same shall be decided by the competent authority in accordance with law as well as taking into consideration the above judgment within a period of six weeks thereafter. The order so passed shall also be communicated to the petitioner.

The writ petition stands disposed of in the above terms, so also the pending miscellaneous application(s), if any.

September 17, 2026
Mukesh

Jyotsna Rewal Dua
Judge