

IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

Execution Petition No.1957 of 2025

Date of Decision: 14.10.2025

Amit KumarPetitioner

Versus

HPSEBL & Ors. ... Respondents

Coram:

Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sandeep Sharma, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting? ¹

For the Petitioner: Mr. Sandeep K. Pandey, Advocate.

For the Respondents: Mr. Piyush Rathore, Advocate for respondent No.1.

Mr. L.S. Mehta, Advocate, for respondent No.2.

Sandeep Sharma, Judge(oral):

Learned counsel for the petitioner fairly states that after filing of the petition at hand, petitioner has been offered appointment against the post in question, in terms of judgment, sought to be executed, as such, nothing remains to be adjudicated in the instant proceedings.

2. In view of the above, present petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous. However, respondents are directed to ensure that consequential benefits, in terms of judgment, sought to be executed, if not already released/granted, shall be granted expeditiously, preferably within four weeks from today, failing which

¹ *Whether the reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*

petitioner would be at liberty to get the present proceedings revived, so that appropriate action, in accordance with law, is taken towards implementation of the judgment/ order, sought to be executed in the instant proceedings.

**(Sandeep Sharma),
Judge**

October 14, 2025
(sunil)