



2026:HHC:39510

IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

CWP No.16019 of 2026

Decided on: 16th September, 2026

Jaya Kumari

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of H.P. and others

.....Respondents

Coram

Ms. Justice Jyotsna Rewal Dua

Whether approved for reporting?¹

For the Petitioner: Mr. Saurav, Advocate vice Mr. M.A.
Safee, Advocate.

For the Respondents: Mr. Y.P.S. Dhaulta, Additional Advocate
General.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua, Judge

Notice. Mr. Y.P.S. Dhaulta, learned Additional
Advocate General, appears and waives service of notice on
behalf of the respondents.

2. Petitioner's grievance is that her representation
(Annexure P-2) seeking applicability of ***Inder Singh
Thakur & Ors. Versus State of H.P. & Ors.***² has not
been decided till date by the respondents/competent
authority. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that
the petitioner would be content in case the

¹ Whether reporters of print and electronic media may be allowed to see the order? Yes.

² CWP No.4830 of 2023, decided alongwith connected matter on 07.04.2025



respondents/competent authority(s) are directed to consider and decide the aforesaid representation in accordance with law within a fixed-time schedule. Learned Additional Advocate General is not averse to this prayer.

3. Having regard to the afore-submissions, but without examining the merits of the matter, this writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents/competent authority to consider and decide the aforesaid representation of the petitioner (Annexure P-2) in accordance with law as well as taking into consideration the above judgment within a period of six weeks from today. The decision so arrived at shall also be communicated to the petitioner.

The writ petition stands disposed of in the above terms, so also the pending miscellaneous application(s), if any.

September 16, 2026
Mukesh

Jyotsna Rewal Dua
Judge