

Dev Bhumi Hydro Power Inc. Vs. State & Ors.

CWP No.12305/2026

22.07.2026 Present: Mr. Vikrant Thakur, Sr. Advocate with Mr. Sarthak Mehta, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Anup Rattan, Advocate General with Mr. L.N. Sharma
Mr. Y.P.S. Dhaulta, Additional Advocates General with
Ms. Menka Raj Chauhan, Deputy Advocate General &
Mr. Rajat Choudhary, Assistant Advocate General for
respondents No.1 to 5, 9 and 10.

Mr. Ankit Kaloti, Advocate, for respondent No.6.

Mr. Shubham Sood, Advocate for respondent No.7.

Ms. Sunita Sharma, Sr. Advocate with Ms. Harshita
Dogra, Advocate for respondent No.8.

Notice. Mr. L.N. Sharma, learned Additional Advocate
General, Mr. Ankit Kaloti, Mr. Shubham Sood and Ms. Harshita
Dogra, learned counsel, accept notice on behalf of
respondents No.1 to 5, 9 & 10, 6, 7 and 8, respectively. Issue
notice to respondent No.11, returnable within four weeks, on
taking steps within three days. List thereafter.

Appearing respondents to file reply in the meanwhile.

CMP No. 18190/2026

Petitioner seeks interim relief against the advertisement
at Annexure P-8 issued by the respondents inviting proposals
from eligible bidders *inter alia* for the Nargani Small Hydro
Power Project (10 MW) in the Yamuna river basin, District
Shimla. It is seen from the record that the letter of
allotment/letter of consent was issued in favour of the petitioner

in respect of the Nargani Small Hydro Electric Project (8 MW) by the respondent-State on 10.08.2015. The capacity of the project was subsequently enhanced to 10 MW. Annexure P-6 dated 27.03.2026 reflects that, as per the stand of the respondent-State, even after affording number of opportunities and personal hearing to the petitioner, the implementation agreement was not signed by the petitioner; No concrete steps have been taken by the petitioner towards the execution of the Nargani Small Hydro Electric Project. Accordingly, order dated 27.03.2026 was passed cancelling the allotment made in favour of the petitioner on 10.08.2015.

Considering the above aspects, it will not be appropriate to grant interim relief, as prayed for by the petitioner. The application is disposed of with the direction that all consequential actions shall abide by the outcome of the writ petition.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua
Judge

22nd July, 2026 (Rohit)