



Pradeep Kumar & ors. Vs. State of HP & ors.

CWPOA No. 6528 of 2019

28.03.2022 Present: Mr. Adarsh K. Vashishta, Advocate, for the petitioners.

M/s Sumesh Raj, Dinesh Thakur and Sanjeev Sood, Additional Advocate Generals, with M/s Manoj Bagga and Sunny Datwalia, Assistant Advocate Generals, for the respondent No.1 and 2-State.

Mr. Pushpinder Verma, Advocate, for respondents No.3 to 5.

Today, when the case was taken up for consideration, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he may be granted four weeks' time to file rejoinder to the reply filed by the respondents.

Learned Additional Advocate General has drawn the attention of the Court to the interim order which was passed by the erstwhile learned Himachal Pradesh Administrative Tribunal on 21.6.2017 and submitted that now for almost five years the interim is continuing and the same is causing difficulties in the way of the respondent-Department and in case the learned counsel for the petitioner is still not ready to make submissions on merit, then, it will be in the interest of justice in case interim order is vacated and it is ordered that promotions, if any, shall abide by final outcome of the petition.

Learned counsel for the petitioners agrees to the submissions so made on behalf of the State.

Accordingly, four weeks' time is granted to the petitioners to file rejoinder to the reply filed by the respondents.



2026:HHC:13543

Order dated 21.6.2017, vide which 22 posts of TGT (Arts) were ordered to be kept vacant, is vacated with the direction that promotions, if any, by the respondent-Department shall abide by the final outcome of the writ petition and this be made clear in the promotion orders, if any.

(Ajay Mohan Goel)
Judge

March, 28, 2022
(narender/chander)