

Harka Lal Bahadur vs. State of Himachal Pradesh and others

CWP No.9043 of 2022

10.04.2025 Present Ms. Babita Chauhan, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Anup Rattan, Advocate General with Mr. Rajan Kahol, Mr. Vishal Panwar and Mr. B.C.Verma, Additional Advocate Generals and Mr. Ravi Chauhan, Deputy Advocate General, for the respondents/State.

While placing on record communication dated 09.04.2025, issued under the signatures of Executive Engineer, B&R Division, HPPWD Rohru, District Shimla, Himachal Pradesh, learned Additional Advocate General states that since number of cases are to be decided on the basis of judgment passed by Hon'ble Apex Court in Surajmani's case, matter is under consideration at Government level, as the uniform decision in respect of implementation of judgment in Surajmani's case is to be taken.

Though, this Court is not satisfied with afore explanation rendered on record qua non-implementation of the mandate contained in the judgment sought to be complied with, but by way of indulgence, further four weeks' time, as prayed for, is granted to the respondents to do the needful, failing which, respondents would invite contempt proceedings for their having intentionally and willfully disobeyed the mandate contained in the judgment sought to be complied with.

List on 26.05.2025.

(Sandeep Sharma)
Judge

April 10,2025
(shankar)