

**Harka Lal Bahadur Vs. State of Himachal
Pradesh and Others**

CWP-9043 of 2022

07.07.2025

Present: Mr. A.K. Gupta, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajan Kahol and Mr. B.C. Verma,
Additional Advocates General, with Mr. Ravi
Chauhan, Deputy Advocate General, for
respondents/State.

While placing on record communication dated 05.07.2025, issued under the signatures of Executive Engineer, B&R Division, HPPWD, Rohru, learned Additional Advocate General states that mandate contained in the judgment, sought to be complied with, stands duly complied with.

2. Having perused aforesaid communication as well as office order dated 25.06.2025, annexed with the afore communication, this Court finds that pursuant to mandate contained in the judgment, sought to be complied with, respondents vide order dated 25.06.2025 have proceeded to grant work-charge status to the petitioner, after his having completed eight years of daily wage service with 240 days in each calendar year on notional basis, but since pay fixation has not been done yet, learned counsel representing the petitioner fairly states that instant proceedings can be closed, with the direction to respondents to do pay fixation within stipulated time.

3. Having perused aforesaid communication, which is taken on record, though this Court finds that mandate

contained in the judgment, sought to be complied with, stands complied with, but orders with regard to pay fixation are yet to be passed.

4. Consequently, in view of the above, instant proceedings are closed, with the direction to respondents to pass order of pay fixation of the petitioner, if not already passed, within a period of weeks, failing which, petitioner would be at liberty to file appropriate proceedings in the appropriate Court of law, qua the surviving grievance, if any.

July 07, 2025

(Rajeev Raturi)

**(Sandeep Sharma),
Judge**