

IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

CWP No.12015 of 2025

Date of Decision: 25.07.2025

Abhi Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Himachal Pradesh & others

.....Respondents.

Coram

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sushil Kukreja, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting?¹

For the Petitioner: Mr. Vedhant Ranta, Advocate.

For the Respondents: Mr. Pushpinder Jaswal, Additional
Advocate General with Mr. Rahul Thakur,
Deputy Advocate General

Sushil Kukreja, Judge (oral).

Notice. Mr. Pushpinder Jaswal, learned Additional Advocate General appears and waives service of notice on behalf of the respondents.

2. The present petition has been filed by the petitioner for grant of the following reliefs:

i) “ Writ in the nature of mandamus may kindly be issued,directing the respondents to execute the attestation of mutation of the land comprised in Khasra No. 1780/1734,2280/1782,2243/2278, measuring 3.5 Hectares, situated in Tika Dallu, Mauza Jaisinghpur, Tehsil Palampur, District Kangra,l Himachal Pradesh,in favour of the petitioner, within a time bound period and

¹ Whether reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment?

further grant permission to the petitioner to collect and sell sand, gravel etc. from the above mentioned land, in terms of Annexure P-4, appended with the petition.

ii) By issuing directions to respondent No.3 and respondent No.5, to decide the representation I.e Annexure P-7 and Annexure P-8, respectively made by the petitioner within a time bound period after affording due and reasonable opportunity of hearing to the petitioner.”

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner would be satisfied if his representations are decided in a time bound manner. He further submitted that he will file fresh representations within a period of two weeks from today before respondents No. 3 and 5.

4. Therefore, without adverting to the merits of the case, respondents No. 3 and 5 are directed to decide the representations of the petitioner, if filed within the stipulated period, within a period of four weeks thereafter, after giving reasonable opportunity of being heard to the petitioner. In the meantime, no coercive action shall be taken against the petitioner till his representations are decided.

5. Petition is disposed of in the aforesaid terms, so also pending miscellaneous application(s), if any.

List for compliance on 26.09.2025.

(Sushil Kukreja)
Judge

25th July, 2025
(veena)