

**Kam Raj vs. State of H.P.**

**CR.A No.675 of 2024**

07.08.2026 Present : Mr. Praveen Sharma, Advocate, for the appellant-applicant.

Mr. Pawan K. Nadda, Additional Advocate General, for the respondent-State.

**CRMP No.2848 of 2026**

Learned Additional Advocate General has placed on record instructions received from SHO, Police Station Banjar, District Kullu, along with statements of Kirna Devi (wife of the applicant), Chandra Devi (aunt of the applicant), as well as certificate issued by the Up-Pradhan of the Gram Panchayat and OPD tickets/slips.

The wife of the applicant-appellant has been declared a transplant prospect, but there is nothing on record to indicate whether kidney is available for transplant, what is the probable period for transplantation is, or when there is possibility of availability of kidney for transplant.

In response to the query raised by the Court, learned counsel for the applicant has submitted that though the applicant has applied for parole, his case for grant of parole has not been finalised due to pendency of the present application.

Without commenting upon the merits and the sufficiency or deficiency of the material placed on record for considering the request of the applicant-appellant for suspension of sentence, it is clarified that the pendency of present application is no ground to keep pending the case of the applicant-appellant for grant of parole. Therefore, the respondent is directed to consider the case of the applicant-appellant and pass final order thereon regarding grant of parole.

To have further clarification with regard to medical terms used in the treatment charts, the matter is adjourned for consideration on **19.08.2026**.

**(Vivek Singh Thakur)**  
**Judge**

**(Ranjan Sharma)**  
**Judge**

7<sup>th</sup> August, 2026  
(*Pardeep*)