

Ramesh Chand vs. State of H.P. & Ors.

**CWPOA No. 5126 of 2019.**

03.09.2025 Present: Mr. Surender Saklani, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Amandeep Sharma, Addl. A.G., for the respondents.

Heard further.

During the course of hearing the factual position as has transpired is that the termination of petitioner from service was set aside by the erstwhile State Administrative Tribunal vide order dated 10.01.2007. The order of the learned Tribunal was assailed by the State before this Court by way of CWP No.1965 of 2007. The said petition was decided on 12.03.2010 and in the order so passed, there is no reference to the service of the petitioner having been terminated again before passing of order dated 12.03.2010 in CWP No.1965 of 2007.

The impugned order, Annexure A-1 passed by the Director, Ayurveda, Himachal Pradesh at page -4 makes a mention of termination of service of the petitioner vide order dated 16.01.2008 in compliance to the orders passed by this Court in CWP No. 1965 of 2007.

In this view of the matter, in order to have clarity on factual aspect, the Registry is directed to place the record of CWP No.1965 of 2007, decided on

12.03.2010 by the Division Bench of this Court along  
with this petition.

List on 11<sup>th</sup> September, 2025.

**(Satyen Vaidya)**  
**Judge**

**3<sup>rd</sup> September, 2025.**  
(Jai)