



2026:HHC:29285

Ashok Wadhwa vs. Jag Mohan Sood

CMPMO No.333 of 2026

09.07.2026 Present: Mr. Janesh Gupta, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ajay Kumar, Senior Advocate with Ms. Vanshika Sharma, Advocate, for the respondent.

At the request of learned counsel for the petitioner,
list on 16.07.2026. Till then, interim to continue.

**(Romesh Verma)
Judge**

July, 09, 2026
(vt)



2026:HHC:29285

Chanchala and others vs. Kewal Kumar

RSA No.153 of 2019

09.07.2026 Present: Mr. Ajay Kumar, Senior Advocate with Ms. Vanshika Sharma, Advocate, for appellants No.2 to 5.

Mr. R.K. Sharma, Senior Advocate with Mr.Arun Kumar, Advocate, for the respondent.

At the request of learned counsel for the parties,
list the matter for consideration on 17th July, 2026. Till then,
interim to continue.

**(Romesh Verma)
Judge**

July, 09, 2026
(vt)



2026:HHC:29285

Chand Thakur vs. Vinod Kumar Mehta and another

RSA No.94 of 2026

09.07.2026 Present: Mr. Neeraj Gupta, Senior Advocate with Mr. Ajeet Pal Singh Jaswal, Advocate, for the appellant.

Mr. V.S. Chauhan, Senior Advocate with Ms. Priti Steta, Advocate, for respondent No.1.

It is heartening to note that some development has taken place with respect to conciliation inter se the parties. Before proceeding further in the matter, let both the parties remain present in Court on the next date of hearing.

List on 15.07.2026.

**(Romesh Verma)
Judge**

July, 09, 2026
(vt)



2026:HHC:29285

Thakur Chand vs. Sneh Lata

RSA No.221 of 2025

09.07.2026 Present: Mr. Maan Singh, Advocate, for the appellant.
Mr. Narender Guleria, Advocate, for the respondent.

CMP No.16133 of 2026

The instant application has been filed for extension of time to comply with order, as passed by this Court dated 25.09.2025. As per the said order, the operation, execution and implementation of impugned judgment was ordered to be stayed, subject to deposit of entire decretal amount within a period of four weeks from 25.09.2025. It has been stated in the application that on account of unavoidable circumstance, the said order could not be complied with and now the applicant has arranged the amount and a copy of Demand Draft amounting to Rs.7,66,800/- has been prepared and the same is appended with the instant application.

Learned counsel for the non-applicant has no objection in case the application is allowed.

Consequently, in view of averments as made in the application and in view of no objection, as imparted by



2026:HHC:29285

learned counsel for the non-applicant, the application is allowed and the Demand Draft which shall be filed by learned counsel for the applicant during the course of the day, shall be accepted and deposited with the Registry of this Court.

Accordingly, the application stands disposed of.

(Romesh Verma)
Judge

July, 09, 2026
(vt)



2026:HHC:29285

NHAI vs. Sanjay Kumar

Arb. Appeal No.239 of 2026

09.07.2026 Present: Ms. Shreya Chauhan, Advocate, for the appellant.
Mr. Angrez Kapoor, Advocate vice counsel, for respondents No.1 to 3.
Mr. Baldev Singh Negi, Addl. A.G., for respondent No.5.

At the request of learned vice counsel for respondents No.1 to 3, list after ten days.

**(Romesh Verma)
Judge**

July 09, 2026
(vt)



2026:HHC:29285

NHAI vs. Lachhmi Nand & others

OMP(M) No.93 of 2026

09.07.2026 Present: Mr. Sumeet Raj Sharma, Advocate, for the appellant.
Mr. Gaurav Chaudhary, Advocate, for respondent No.1 to 9.
Mr. Baldev Singh Negi, Addl. A.G., for respondent No.10.

OMP(M) No.93 of 2026

The instant application has been filed for condonation of delay of 473 days' in filing the present appeal. It has been averred in the application that the applicant had applied for certified copy of impugned judgment dated 02.09.2024, however, due to inadvertence and oversight, the same was misplaced and could not be traced despite best efforts. Thereafter, the applicant applied for another copy on 07.10.2025 and the same was delivered on 18.10. 2025. The misplacement of certified copy was neither deliberate nor intentional but occurred due to bonafide circumstances.

Therefore, it has been prayed that the delay in filing the appeal is neither intentional nor willful, but the same has occurred due to unavoidable circumstances.

Learned counsel for the appearing non-applicants have no objection in case the application is allowed.

Consequently, in view of the averments as made in the application, which is duly supported by an affidavit and in view of submissions as made by learned counsel for the applicant, the



2026:HHC:29285

present application is allowed and delay in filing the appeal is condoned.

Accordingly, the application stands disposed of.

Arb. Appeal No._____ of 2026

Appeal be registered.

Heard.

Admit.

Send for records.

List in due course.

OMPST No.68475 of 2025

Be registered. Heard

Subject to deposit of entire awarded amount along with up-to-date interest by the appellant/applicant within a period of eight weeks from today, the operation, implementation and execution of impugned order dated 02.09.2024, as passed by learned District Judge, Mandi as well as arbitral award dated 07.08.2023 during the pendency of appeal is ordered to be stayed.

Application stands disposed of.

**(Romesh Verma)
Judge**

July, 09, 2026
(vt)



2026:HHC:29285

NHAI vs. Prakash Chand & others

OMP(M) No.130 of 2026

09.07.2026 Present: Ms. Sneh Bhimta, Advocate, vice counsel, for the applicant.

Mr. Gaurav Chaudhary, Advocate, for respondents No.1 to 9 & 11 & 12.

Mr. Manish Thakur, Dy. A.G., for respondent No.13.

As per report of the Registry, respondent No.10, Anant Ram, has expired. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks three weeks' time to take consequential steps for bringing on record the LRs of deceased respondent No.10.

List after three weeks.

Learned counsel for the applicant shall supply entire paper book to learned counsel for the respondent during the course of the day.

**(Romesh Verma)
Judge**

July 09, 2026
(vt)



2026:HHC:29285

NHAI vs. Jeevan Kumar & another

OMP(M) No.172 of 2026

09.07.2026 Present: Ms. Sneh Bhimta, Advocate, vice counsel, for the applicant.

None for respondent No.1.

As per report of the Registry, respondent No.1 stands duly served, however, none has appeared on behalf of said respondent. Hence, respondent No.1 is proceeded ex parte.

Further, it has been reported that respondent No.2 Jagdish, has expired. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks three weeks' time to take consequential steps for bringing on record the LRs of deceased respondent No.2.

List after three weeks.

**(Romesh Verma)
Judge**

July 09, 2026
(vt)



Govind alias Govind Ram vs. UOI & others

OMP(M) No.221 of 2026

09.07.2026 Present: Mr. Naveen K. Bhardwaj, Advocate, for the appellant.
Mr. Janak Raj, Senior Panel Counsel, for respondent No.1.
Ms. Sneha Bhimta, Advocate, vice counsel, for respondents No.2 and 3.
Mr. Baldev Singh Negi, Addl. A.G., for respondent No.4.

OMP(M) No.221 of 2026

The present application has been filed for condonation of delay of 7 days in filing the present appeal. As per the averments made therein, it is stated that copy of impugned judgment was applied on 03.11.2025 and the same was delivered on 22.11.2025. The office Clerk had kept the appeals with himself and the same could not be filed due to his illness and the period of limitation had expired.

The applicants have further submitted that the delay in filing the present appeal is neither intentional nor deliberate, but has occurred on account of bona fide reasons, as stated in the application.

Learned counsel for the non-applicants/respondents have stated that they have no objection if the present application is allowed.



2026:HHC:29285

In view of the averments as made in the application which is duly supported by an affidavit and in view of the submissions made by learned counsel for the applicants, the present application is allowed and the delay in filing the appeal is condoned.

Application stands disposed of.

Arb Appeal No._____ of 2026

Appeal be registered.

Notice.

Mr. Janak Raj, learned senior panel counsel, Ms.Sneh Bhimta, Advocate and Mr. Baldev Singh Negi, learned Additional Advocate General, appear and waive service of notice on behalf of respondent No.1, respondents No.2 & 3 and respondent No.4, respectively.

List for consideration along with Arbitration Appeal No.79 of 2026.

**(Romesh Verma)
Judge**

July 09, 2026
(vt)



Gopi Chand alias Gopi Ram (deceased) & others vs. UOI & others

OMP(M) No.222 of 2026

09.07.2026 Present: Mr. Naveen K. Bhardwaj, Advocate, for the appellants.
Mr. Janak Raj, Senior Panel Counsel, for respondent No.1.
Ms. Sneha Bhimta, Advocate, vice counsel, for respondents No.2 and 3.
Mr. Baldev Singh Negi, Addl. A.G., for respondent No.4.

OMP(M) No.222 of 2026

The present application has been filed for condonation of delay of 7 days in filing the present appeal. As per the averments made therein, it is stated that copy of impugned judgment was applied on 03.11.2025 and the same was delivered on 22.11.2025. The office Clerk had kept the appeals with himself and the same could not be filed due to his illness and the period of limitation had expired.

The applicants have further submitted that the delay in filing the present appeal is neither intentional nor deliberate, but has occurred on account of bona fide reasons, as stated in the application.

Learned counsel for the non-applicants/respondents have stated that they have no objection if the present application is allowed.



2026:HHC:29285

In view of the averments as made in the application which is duly supported by an affidavit and in view of the submissions made by learned counsel for the applicants, the present application is allowed and the delay in filing the appeal is condoned.

Application stands disposed of.

Arb Appeal No. _____ of 2026

Appeal be registered.

Notice.

Mr. Janak Raj, learned senior panel counsel, Ms. Sneha Bhimta, Advocate and Mr. Baldev Singh Negi, learned Additional Advocate General, appear and waive service of notice on behalf of respondent No.1, respondents No.2 & 3 and respondent No.4, respectively.

List for consideration along with Arbitration Appeal No.79 of 2026.

In the meanwhile, record be requisitioned.

**(Romesh Verma)
Judge**

July 09, 2026
(vt)



2026:HHC:29285

Nareshwar Singh & another vs. Ram Krishan & another

COPC No.340 pf 2022

09.07.2026 Present: Mr. Aman Sood, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Ms. Anu Tuli, Advocate, for the respondents.

At the request of learned counsel for the petitioner, list
on 20th July, 2026.

(Romesh Verma)
Judge

July, 09, 2026
(vt)



2026:HHC:29285

Lachhman @ Lachhmi Nand and others vs. Munshi & others

RSA No.284 of 2023

09.07.2026 Present: Mr. Malay Kaushal, Advocate, for the appellants.

Ms. Tamanna Sharma, Advocate, vice counsel, for respondents No.1, 3, 5 (ii), 5(iii), 6 to 12, 14, 15(i), 15(ii) and 18(ii).

Mr. Kamal Sharma, Advocate, vice counsel, for respondents No.23, 24, 25(i), 25(ii).

CMP No.16497of 2026

The instant application has been filed for deleting the name of deceased appellant No.2(i), Smt. Roshni Devi. As per the contents of the application, the said appellant has expired on 25.01.2026, leaving behind the legal heirs as mentioned in para-2 of the application. It is the case of the applicant that the proposed legal heirs, namely, Dev Raj, Maya Devi and Veena Devi are already on record as appellants No.2(ii) to 2(iv). He further submits that apart from the aforesaid three persons, deceased appellant No.2(i) had left behind other legal heirs, namely, Manohar Lal and Anju Devi, who are required to be arrayed as proforma respondents.

Consequently, in view of averments as made in the application, after deleting the name of appellant No.2(i), namely, Roshni Devi, LRs Manohar Lal and Anju Devi are ordered to be arrayed as proforma respondents in the array of parties.



2026:HHC:29285

Accordingly, the application stands disposed of.
Amended memo of parties is taken on record, subject to
correction.

RSA No.284 of 2023

At the request of learned counsel for the appellants,
list next week.

(Romesh Verma)
Judge

July, 09, 2026
(vt)



2026:HHC:29285



2026:HHC:29285



2026:HHC:29285

**Uday Prakash (deceased) through LRs vs. Naresh Bala &
another**

CMPMO No.449 of 2018

09.07.2026 Present: Ms. Shivam Sharma, Advocate vice counsel, for the
petitioner.

Mr. Vishwas Kaushal, Advocate, vice counsel, for the
respondents.

It is contended by learned vice counsel for the
petitioner that on account of medical emergency, learned senior
counsel, is not available today.

At his request, list on 21.07.2026.

**(Romesh Verma)
Judge**

July, 09, 2026
(vt)



2026:HHC:29285



2026:HHC:29285



2026:HHC:29285

Rameshwar Dutt vs. Arun Patiyal & others

RSA No.203 of 2022

09.07.2026 Present: Mr. V.S. Chauhan, Senior Advocate with Mr. Pranshul Sharma, Advocate, for the appellant.

None for respondent No.1.

Respondent No.2 is ex parte.

Mr. Sudhir Thakur, Senior Advocate, with Mr. Somesh Sharma, Advocate, for respondent No.3.

Record reveals that respondent No.2 was duly served and he was proceeded ex parte vide order dated 19.09.2022. The record suggests that though Mr. Bonit Thakur, Advocate, had put in appearance on behalf of respondent No.1 on 18th March, 2024, but the fact remains that the said respondent was not served as per report of the Registry.

Learned counsel for the appellant undertakes to take appropriate steps for the service of respondent No.1 and in the event of doing so within a period of three days, notice be issued for the service of said respondent returnable within four weeks.

List thereafter.

**(Romesh Verma)
Judge**

July, 09, 2026
(vt)