

IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

CWP No.9447 of 2024

Date of Decision : 09.09.2024

Dinesh Kumar

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Himachal Pradesh and another

.....Respondents

Coram:

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Bipin Chander Negi, Judge

Whether approved for reporting?¹

For the petitioner : Mr. Devender K. Sharma, Advocate.

For the respondents : Mr. B.N. Sharma, Additional Advocate General.

Bipin Chander Negi, Judge (oral)

Notice. Mr. B.N. Sharma, learned Additional Advocate General, appears and waives service of notice on behalf of the respondents.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the case at hand is squarely covered by the judgment rendered by this Court, in ***CWP No.2004 of 2017*** titled ***Taj Mohammad and others vs. The State of Himachal Pradesh and others*** along-with connected matter, on 03.08.2023.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that benefits, in view of the aforesaid judgment, have been extended to the similarly situate persons by the respondents/State.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner also submits that with respect to the grievances being raised in the present petition, the petitioner has made an

¹

Whether reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment? Yes

appropriate representation dated 28.06.2024 to the respondents/concerned quarters. The same is appended *along with* the present petition as Annexure P-4.

5. In view of above, learned Additional Advocate General submits that the representation so made by the petitioner shall be decided within a period of eight weeks from today.

6. In view of above terms, present petition stands disposed of, so also, pending miscellaneous application(s), if any.

7. List for compliance, on **19.12.2024**.

September 09, 2024 (KS)

(Bipin Chander Negi)
Judge