

**Anuradha Devi Vs. State of H.P. & Ors.
CWP No. 8002 of 2023.**

25.03.2026 Present: Mr. Suneet Goel, Senior Advocate, with Mr. Vishwas Kaushal, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Pushpinder Jaswal, Additional Advocate General, for the respondents.

Heard for some time. The grievance of the petitioner is that despite being eligible for being appointed under the Policy of Offering Appointment to the Sports Persons and despite being duly registered with the Sports Cell of the respondent-State, the petitioner has till date not considered for appointment, whereas, sports persons who got themselves registered after the petitioner and less meritorious as per the Sports Policy of the State, have been offered appointment.

Learned Senior Counsel appearing for the petitioner, by referring to the last affidavit filed by the State, submits that the candidates referred at S.No. 18, 19, 29, 30, 31 to 35 etc. are few examples of this discrimination.

Learned Additional Advocate General to have specific instructions in this regard.

List on **24.04.2026**.

**(Ajay Mohan Goel)
Judge**

March 25, 2026
(Shivank Thakur)