

Rajan Kumar Vs. M/s HP Commercial Corp.

Cr. MP(M) No. 1677 of 2025

24.11.2025

Present: Mr. Anuj Gupta, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms. Meera Devi, Advocate, for the respondent.

Cr. MP(M) No. 1677 of 2025

Present application has been filed for condonation of delay in filing the revision. It has been asserted that the applicant was treated for pancreas, therefore, he could not file the revision within the statutory period of limitation.

The application is opposed by filing reply taking preliminary objections regarding lack of maintainability and the sufficient cause. It was asserted that no documentary proof in support of the ailment has been filed. The contents of the application are vague and hence it has been prayed that the application be dismissed.

Heard.

The contents of the application are duly supported by an affidavit. The copies of the medical record and OPD slips show that the applicant was undergoing treatment. Thus, the plea of the applicant that he was ill and could not file the revision petition within the statutory period of time has to be accepted as correct. Since the ailment is a circumstance which is beyond the control of any person, therefore, there is sufficient cause to condone the delay in

filing the revision. Hence the present application is allowed and the delay in filing the revision is ordered to be condoned.

Application stands disposed of.

Cr. Revision (ST) No. 6335 of 2025
Cr. Revision No. _____ of 2025

Since the delay in filing the revision has been ordered to be condoned vide separate order passed in Cr. MP(M) No. 1677 of 2025, the revision be registered.

Notice Ms. Meera Devi, learned counsel appears and waives service of notice on behalf of the respondent.

Copy of the revision petition has been supplied to the learned counsel for the respondent.

Records be called for.

Cr.MP (ST) No. 6337 of 2025
Cr.MP No. _____ of 2025

Application be registered.

Notice in the aforesaid terms.

Copy of the application has been supplied to the learned counsel for the respondent. Reply, as prayed, be filed within a week and list the matter thereafter.

(Rakesh Kainthla)
Judge

24th November, 2025
(C hander)