

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA****CWP No.9655 of 2024****Date of Decision: 17.10.2025****Anil Kumar****.....Petitioner****Versus****State of Himachal Pradesh & Anr.****.....Respondents****Coram****Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sandeep Sharma, Judge.****Whether approved for reporting?****For the Petitioner:** Ms. Anita Devi, Advocate.**For the respondents:** Mr. Rajan Kahol, Additional Advocate General with Mr. Ravi Chauhan, Deputy Advocate General.**Sandeep Sharma, J.** *(Oral)*

While placing on record communication dated 16.10.2025, issued under the signatures of Director, School Education, Himachal Pradesh, enclosing therewith copy of office order dated 25.02.2025, Mr. Rajan Kahol, learned Additional Advocate General, states that respondents, after having considered the case of the petitioner, in light of judgment passed by this Court in CWP No.2004 of 2017, titled as ***Taj Mohammad Vs. State of Himachal Pradesh & Ors.***, have rejected the claim of the petitioner.

2. Since through instant petition, petitioner is seeking benefit of judgment rendered in ***Taj Mohammad*** (supra) and respondents, after having considered the case of the petitioner, in light of aforesaid judgment, have already rejected the case of the



petitioner, as such nothing much has left to adjudicate in the case at hand.

3. In view of the above, present petition is disposed of reserving liberty to the petitioner to file appropriate proceedings in appropriate Court of law, laying therein challenge to office order dated 25.02.2025 passed upon the representation filed by the petitioner.

October 17, 2025
(sunil)

(Sandeep Sharma),
Judge