

Kailash Chand vs State of HP and The Stte of HP and others

CWP No. 10230 of 2026

25.06.2026 Present: Mr. Ashwani Kumar Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Pushpinder Jaswal, Additional Advocate General with Mr. Rajat Chauhan, Assistant Advocate General for the respondent-State.

CWPNo.10230 of 2026&CMP No.15078 of 2026

Notice confined to respondents No. 1 to 5. Mr. Pushpinder Jaswal, learned Additional Advocate General, accepts notice on behalf of said respondents.

The grievance of the petitioner is that though in terms of impugned transfer order, the private respondent has been adjusted at his place but vide the said transfer order, the petitioner, who is serving in the sub-cadre area for the last 12 years now stands transferred to a Tribal area.

Learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that this has been done by ignoring order dated 02.04.2026 (Annexure P-2), in terms whereof, the representation of the petitioner has earlier been ordered to be decided.

Learned Additional Advocate General submits that he may be granted some time to have instructions.

List on 03.07.2026. Though, this Court is not staying the operation of the impugned order but it is observed that the petitioner shall not be forced to join the station, to which, he stands transferred in terms of the

impugned order and the petitioner is at liberty to avail the kind of leave due to him. Endeavour be made by the Authority to adjust the petitioner at the station of his choice for the reason that he has already served in the sub-cadre area for about 12 years.

(Ajay Mohan Goel)
Judge

June 25, 2026
(narender)