

Asha Rani v. State of Himachal Pradesh and Ors.

CWP No. 4119 of 2019

12.9.2025 Present: Ms. Suman Thakur, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Rajan Kahol, Mr. Vishal Panwar and Mr. B.C. Verma, Additional Advocates General with Mr. Ravi Chauhan, Deputy Advocate General, for the State.
Mr. Manish Sharma, Advocate, for respondent No.2.

CMP No. 22402 of 2025

For the reasons stated in the application, same is allowed. Application stands disposed of accordingly.

CWP No. 4119 of 2019

Precisely, the grouse of the petitioner, as has been highlighted in the petition and further canvassed by learned counsel for the petitioner is that petitioner herein has been discharging duties of Clerk in the office of respondent w.e.f. 2001, but yet she is being denied benefit of policy of regularization framed by Government of Himachal Pradesh, whereby decision has been taken to regularize the daily wage employees with 240 days in each calendar year after five years daily wage service.

Though reply filed by the respondents suggests that petitioner has not completed 240 days in each calendar year, but certain documents placed on record by the petitioner through rejoinder suggest that w.e.f. 2018 till 2019, she has been working for more than 240 days in each calendar year.

Though it has been further argued at the behest of the petitioner that even after year 2020 petitioner has been continuously rendering her services in the respondent

department, but interestingly to prevent her from seeking regularization, her services are being shown on outsource basis.

In the aforesaid background, let respondents produce entire record with regard to engagement of the petitioner as well as payment made to her w.e.f. 2001 till passing of the order.

In the meantime, petitioner may also place on record sanction orders, if any, for a period starting from 2019 till the passing of the order.

List on **7.10.2025**.

September 12, 2025
manjit

(Sandeep Sharma),
Judge