



IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH AT SHIMLA

COPC No.630 of 2025
Decided on: 22.04.2026

Nitin GuptaPetitioner

Versus

Arrpit Aggarwal ...Respondent

Coram

Ms. Justice Jyotsna Rewal Dua

¹ *Whether approved for reporting?*

For the petitioner: Mr. Shubham Sood, Advocate.

For the respondent: Mr. Desh Raj Thakur, Advocate.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua, Judge

Petitioner alleges violation of order dated 30.06.2025 passed in OMP No. 883 of 2025 in Arbitration Case No.116 of 2025.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the Arbitration Case No.116 of 2025 has since been decided against the petitioner on 21.08.2025 and the Arbitration Appeal No. 91 of 2025 has been preferred against the aforesaid decision.

3. In view the main case having been decided against

¹ *Whether reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment? Yes*



the petitioner, at this stage, it is not deemed necessary to go into the question raised by the petitioner with respect to alleged violation of interim order passed in the main case, accordingly, the proceedings are closed. Notice issued to the respondent is discharged.

Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua
Judge

April 22, 2026
R.Atal