

Roshan Chauhan vs. Vijay Kumar

RSA No.288 of 2025

24.02.2026 Present: Mr. Viblav Sharma, Senior Advocate (through VC) with Mr. Dalip Kumar, Advocate, for the appellant.

Mr. Parveen Chauhan, Advocate, for the respondent.

RSA No.288 of 2025

Heard.

Admit on the following substantial questions of law:

1. Whether the findings given by the First Appellate Court are perverse as any agreement which is not accompanied by consideration is void in law under Section 25 of Indian Contract Act, 1972?
2. Whether the findings of Ld First Appellate Court are vitiated as the agreement Ex PW2/B produced in evidence violated provisions of Section 91 of the Indian Evidence Act, and thus was inadmissible in evidence.

CMP No.31948 of 2025

In the interim, it is ordered that operation, implementation and execution of impugned judgment as passed by learned first appellate Court is stayed, subject to the condition that the applicant/appellant shall deposit the entire decretal amount along with up-to-date interest within a period of eight weeks from today. Application stands disposed of.

**(Romesh Verma)
Judge**

February 24, 2026
(vt)