

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA****COPC No. 838 of 2026
Decided on: 07.08.2026**

Yoginder Makhaik

....Petitioner

Versus

Rakesh Kanwar & Ors.

...Respondents

*Coram***The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sushil Kukreja, Judge.**Whether approved for reporting?¹For the petitioner : Mr. Chitranjan Sharma,
Advocate.For the respondents : Mr. Raj Kumar Negi, Additional
Advocate General.

Sushil Kukreja, Judge (oral)

Learned Additional Advocate General has placed on record communication dated 03.08.2026, wherein, it has been stated that case of the petitioner has been considered and decided by passing a detailed speaking order dated 01.08.2026.

2. Therefore, in this view of the matter, no further orders are required to be passed in the present contempt petition and the same is closed.

1 *Whether reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*



2026:HHC:33148

2

(2026:HHC:33148)

3. However, in case the petitioner is still aggrieved, he is at liberty to assail order dated 01.08.2026, in accordance with law.

The petition, so also pending application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

7th August, 2026
(raman)

(Sushil Kukreja)
Judge