



IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

CWP No.10833 of 2025
Date of Decision: 07.07.2025

Balram and Others		Petitioners
	Versus	
State of H.P. and Another		Respondents

*Coram:****Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sandeep Sharma, Judge.****Whether approved for reporting? ¹***For the Petitioners:** Ms. Seema K. Guleria, Advocate.**For the Respondents:** Mr. Rajan Kahol and Mr. B.C. Verma,
Additional Advocates General and Mr. Ravi
Chauhan, Deputy Advocate General, for State.

Sandeep Sharma, Judge (oral):

Petitioners herein, who at present are working as Laboratory Attendant at Government Model Senior Secondary School, Chandi, District Solan; Government Senior Secondary School, Bawasi, District Solan, and Government Senior Secondary School, Dhayla, District Solan, respectively, have approached this Court in the instant proceedings, seeking directions to respondents to consider and decide their pending representations, whereby they have prayed for mutual transfer, but since no action has been taken qua the same for quite long, petitioners herein are compelled to approach this Court in the instant proceedings.

2. Having regard to the nature of prayer and order proposed to be passed, this Court sees no sees no necessity to call for reply on

¹Whether the reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?



behalf of respondents, who are otherwise represented by Mr. Ravi Chauhan, learned Deputy Advocate General. Mr. Chauhan states that though he has every reason to presume and believe that representation, if any, filed by the petitioner, may have been decided, but if not, same shall be decided expeditiously.

3. Consequently, in view of the above, this Court, without going into the merits of the case, deems it fit to dispose of the present petition, reserving liberty to the petitioners to file fresh representation, within a period of one week, praying therein for mutual transfers, at the stations decided *inter se* them, which in-turn shall be decided by the competent authority, expeditiously, preferably, within a period of three weeks. Ordered accordingly. Needless to say, authority concerned while doing the needful in terms of instant order, shall afford opportunity of being heard to the petitioners and pass appropriate orders.

The present petition is disposed in the above terms, so also the pending miscellaneous application(s), if any.

**(Sandeep Sharma),
Judge**

July 07, 2025
(Rajeev Raturi)