

TEJINDER SINGH
Versus
THE STATE OF HP AND OTHERS

CWP-12249 of 2025

18.11.2025

Present: Mr. R.L. Sood, Sr. Advocate, with Mr. Arjun Lall, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajan Kahol, Additional Advocate General, with Mr. Ravi Chauhan and Mr. Anish Banshtu, Deputy Advocates General, for State.

Mr. Rajan Kahol, learned Additional Advocate General, states that reply to the petition filed at the behest of respondents, under the signature of Ms. Mamta Raghuvanshi-respondent No.3, be read as reply on behalf of respondents No.1 and 2 only. He further states that since *malafides* have been alleged against respondent No.3, coupled with the fact that she has been impleaded in personal capacity, reply on behalf of respondent No.3 can only be filed by person concerned.

In view of aforesaid stand taken by learned Additional Advocate General, this Court finds it necessary to issue notice to respondent No.3 in her independent capacity, returnable for **25.11.2025**. Ordered accordingly. While issuing notice in terms of instant order, Registry may specifically call upon aforesaid person to remain present in Court on the next date of hearing.

Having regard to the nature of issue, sought to be decided in the instant proceedings, this Court, in the interest of justice, further orders that till the next date of

hearing, Court below shall not take note of cancellation report, if any, filed by respondent No.3.

November 18, 2025
(Rajeev Raturi)

(Sandeep Sharma),
Judge