

IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

COPC No.908 of 2025

Decided on: 19th September, 2025

Ram Lal

.....**Petitioner**

Versus

Mr. Abhishek Jain and others

.....**Respondents**

Coram

Ms. Justice Jyotsna Rewal Dua

Whether approved for reporting?¹

For the Petitioner: Mr. Balwant Singh Thakur and
Ms. Rinkle Khoond, Advocates.

For the Respondents: Mr. Vishwadeep Sharma, Additional
Advocate General.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua, Judge

Pursuant to the order dated 18.09.2025 passed
in this petition, learned Additional Advocate General has
now placed on record copy of office order dated 18.09.2025.
Relevant portion of the said office order reads as under:-

“Now Therefore, taking into consideration the entire facts and circumstances as the petitioner was in continuous daily wage service with 180 days in each calendar year w.e.f. year, 1993 as on 31.12.2002 as such the Executive Engineer HPPWD Division Karcham is directed to grant work charge status to the petitioner w.e.f.01.01.2003 and fix the petitioner the minimum pay scale of the lowest cadre in accordance with the judgment of Mool Raj Upadhaya case. The Executive Engineer is further directed to calculate & pay the

¹ Whether reporters of print and electronic media may be allowed to see the order? Yes

arrears on account of grant of work charge status w.e.f. 15-09-2014 to date of retirement and pay the same within 10 days. Further the Executive Engineer is directed to process the pension papers of the petitioner within three days from receipt of all the requisite documents from the petitioner to the office of Accountant General, HP for grant of pensionary benefits in accordance with law & rules and directions of Hon'ble Court. In view of this, the claim of petitioner is considered and disposed of."

2. Learned Additional Advocate General submits that the above office order shall be implemented within two weeks and necessary benefits shall be released in favour of the petitioner within four weeks thereafter.

Taking note of office order dated 18.09.2025, the present contempt proceedings are closed. Notices issued to the respondents are discharged. Liberty is, however, reserved to the petitioner to seek appropriate remedy in accordance with law for the redressal of his surviving grievances, if any.

September 19, 2025
Mukesh

Jyotsna Rewal Dua
Judge