

**Karam Singh & Ors. Vs. State of H.P. & Ors.**

**CWP No.8047 of 2024**

**25.06.2025** Present: Mr. Onkar Jairath & Mr. Piyush Mehta, Advocates,  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajan Kahol & Mr. B.C. Verma, Additional  
Advocate Generals with Mr. Ravi Chauhan, Deputy  
Advocate General, for the respondents-State.

While placing on record communication dated nil issued under the signatures of Director, School Education, Himachal Pradesh, Mr. Ravi Chauhan, learned Deputy Advocate General, states that mandate contained in the judgment, sought to be complied with, stands complied with. He states that pursuant to direction contained in the judgment, sought to be complied with, respondents, after having considered the case of the petitioner in light of judgment passed in CWPOA No. 5536 titled as **Sanjay Kumar & Ors. Vs. State of Himachal Pradesh & Ors.**, have rejected the representation of the petitioner.

However, having carefully perused order dated 24.06.2025 passed by Deputy Director, Elementary Education, District Sirmaur at Nahan, Himachal Pradesh, in compliance of mandate contained in the judgment, sought to be complied with, this Court is persuaded to agree with Mr. Onkar Jairath, learned counsel for the petitioner, that there is no compliance, rather respondents have aggravated the contempt. Case of the petitioner has been rejected on the premise that SLP in *Sanjay*

*Kumar's* case is pending adjudication before Hon'ble Apex Court.

Till the time judgment passed in Sanjay Kumar's case is not varied or modified, respondents have no option, but to implement the mandate contained in the judgment,

Though it is a fit case, where appropriate proceedings are required to be initiated against erring officials for their having intentionally and willfully disobeyed the mandate contained in the judgment, sought to be complied with, but on the vehement request of learned Deputy Advocate General, matter is adjourned by four weeks, enabling respondents to comply with the judgment, failing which, respondents shall remain present in Court to explain that why they not be punished for their having intentionally and willfully disobeyed the mandate contained in the judgment, sought to be complied with.

List on 06.08.2025.

**June 25, 2025**  
(*sunil*)

**(Sandeep Sharma),**  
**Judge**