

**Manish Singh vs. State of Himachal Pradesh and others**

**CWP No. 8043 of 2024**

26.05.2025 Present Mr. Onkar Jairath, Advocate, for the petitioner.  
Mr. Rajan Kahol and Mr. B.C.Verma, Additional Advocate Generals and Mr. Ravi Chauhan, Deputy Advocate General, for the respondents/State.

While placing on record Office order dated 13.05.2025, issued under the signatures of Director School Education, Himachal Pradesh, Mr. Rajan Kahol, learned Additional Advocate General states that mandate contained in the judgment, sought to be complied, stands duly complied with.

Prima facie, this Court is of the view that attempt has been made by the respondents to hoodwink this Court. Since in Sanjay Kumar' case, this Court has already held that general revision of pay shall not be treated as financial up-gradation, petitioner cannot be said to have been got three enhancement upto 01.10.2012. However, before passing any harsh order and on the vehement request of learned Additional Advocate General, matter is adjourned by three weeks, enabling respondents to re-consider the case of the petitioner in light of judgment dated 01.11.2023 passed by Division Bench of this Court in CWPOA No.5536 of 2020, titled as **Sanjay Kumar vs. State of Himachal Pradesh and others**, failing which, respondents shall remain present in Court on the next date of hearing to explain that why appropriate action, in

accordance with law, be not taken against them for their having intentionally and willfully disobeyed the mandated contained in the judgment.

List on 23.6.2025

**(Sandeep Sharma)**  
**Judge**

May 26, 2025  
(shankar)