



IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH,
SHIMLA

CWP No. 9089/2026
Decided on: 05.06.2026

Kiran Bala

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of H. P. & Ors.

.....Respondents

.....
Coram

Ms. Justice Jyotsna Rewal Dua, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting?¹

For the petitioner:

Mr. Vinod Chauhan, Advocate.

For the respondents:

**Mr. Anup Rattan, Advocate General
with Mr. L.N. Sharma, Additional
Advocate General, for respondents
No.1, 2, 4 to 7.**

**Mr. Surender Sharma, Advocate for
respondent No.3.**

Jyotsna Rewal Dua, J.

Notice. Mr. L.N. Sharma, learned Additional Advocate General and Mr. Surender Sharma, learned counsel, accept notice on behalf of respondents No.1, 2, 4 to 7 and 3, respectively.

2. Petitioner seeks to assail the declaration of respondent No. 9 as having been elected as Pradhan of Gram Panchayat Nainidhar, District Sirmaur, in the recently concluded elections to the State Panchayati Raj Institutions.

¹ *Whether reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*



In view of the statutory remedy available to the petitioner for the redressal of her grievance under the provisions of Sections 162 and 175 of the H.P. Panchayati Raj Act, 1994, this writ petition cannot be entertained. Accordingly, the instant petition is dismissed. However, the petitioner is at liberty to avail the appropriate remedy, in accordance with law, for the redressal of her grievances. Pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of accordingly.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua
Judge

05th June, 2026 (rohit)