

Narayan Dutt Sharma vs. State of H.P. & Ors. along with connected matters

**Execution Petition No.26 of 2025
a/w Execution Petition Nos.829, 1152,
1170 to 1222, 1234 to 1237, 1239 to
1274, 1300, 1302 to 1310, 1312 to 1403,
1405 to 1416, 1418 to 1434, 1435 to
1440, 1442 to 1446, 1449 to 1455, 1475
to 1489, 1491 to 1492, 1494 to 1496,
1709 of 2025 and LPA No.651 of 2025**

13.10.2025 Present : **Execution Petitions**

Ms. Devyani Sharma, Senior Advocate with Mr. Sarthak Upadhyay, Advocate and Mr. Naresh Kumar Verma and Mr. Shivom Vashisht, Advocates for the petitioners in respective petitions.

Mr. Raj Negi, Deputy Advocate General, for the respondent-State.

LPA No.651 of 2025

Mr. Raj Negi, Deputy Advocate General, for the appellants-State.

Mr. Sarthak Upadhyay, Advocate, vice Mr. Sanjay Bhardwaj, Advocate, for respondents No.1 to 6.

Execution Petition Nos.26, 1170 to 1222, 1234 to 1237, 1239 to 1274, 1300, 1302 to 1310, 1312 to 1403, 1405 to 1416, 1418 to 1434, 1435 to 1440, 1442 to 1446, 1449 to 1455, 1475 to 1489, 1491 to 1492 and 1494 to 1496 of 2025

It has been submitted in these matter that Execution Petition No.26 of 2025 (*Narayan Dutt Sharma vs. State of H.P. & Ors.*) is lead case and pleadings thereof can be made basis for adjudication of all execution petitions.

Learned Deputy Advocate General submits that against the judgment dated 12.11.2024 passed in LPA No.338 of

2024 (*Narayan Dutt Sharma vs. State of Himachal Pradesh & Ors.*), State of Himachal Pradesh has filed SLP (C) Diary No.32886 of 2025 (*The State of Himachal Pradesh & Ors. vs. Narayan Dutt Sharma*), wherein vide order dated 19.09.2025, interim stay has been granted in favour of the State.

In view above, it has been submitted on behalf of State that these execution petitions deserve to be closed till the decision of SLP (C) Diary No.32886 of 2025.

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners points out that in the Narayan Dutt Sharma's case there were two directions passed in LPA, directing the respondent-State to count the contractual service of the petitioners towards pensionary benefits and also to grant annual increment for the contractual period of service, and vide order dated 19.09.2025, the Apex Court has stayed direction passed by High Court in the impugned order to grant increment only, whereas there is no stay against counting of contractual service towards pension benefits.

Faced with above submission and situation, learned Deputy Advocate General seeks time to file compliance affidavit with respect to direction passed in LPA, directing the respondents to count the contract period towards pensionary benefits in compliance of the judgment dated 12.11.2024. Needful be done within three weeks positively. No further opportunity shall be granted at this count.

List for consideration on 25.11.2025.

LPA No.651 of 2025

List for consideration on 25.11.2025.

Execution Petition No.829 of 2025

It has been submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that this matter is different in nature from others matters with which it has been connected.

Therefore, this matter is de-linked from other connected matters and be listed before appropriate Bench as per roster during week commencing from 27th October, 2025.

Execution Petition Nos.1152 & 1709 of 2025

De-linked from other connected matters and be listed before appropriate Bench as per roster during week commencing from 27th October, 2025.

**(Vivek Singh Thakur)
Judge**

**(Romesh Verma)
Judge**

13th October, 2025
(Pardeep)