



Amar Singh vs. Sukhdev & Ors.

RSA No.40/2022

3.1.2023

Present: Mr. B.S. Chauhan, Sr. Advocate with Mr. Munish Datwalia, Advocate, for the appellant.

Mr. Ajay Sharma, Sr. Advocate with Mr. Atharv Sharma, Advocate, for respondents No.1, 2 and 5.

Respondents No.3 and 4 already *ex-parte*.

CMP No.1921/2022

By way of instant application, prayer has been made on behalf of the applicant-appellant for appointment of Local Commissioner.

After having heard the learned counsel representing the parties and perused the averments contained in the application, this Court is not persuaded to grant the aforesaid relief, as claimed for.

In view of the aforesaid submissions, learned counsel representing the applicant-appellant seeks permission to withdraw the instant application with liberty file afresh, if need so arises.

Consequently, the instant application is dismissed as withdrawn, with liberty as aforesaid.

The application stands disposed of.

RSA No.40/2022

Learned counsel representing the parties state that the parties have taken a step forward towards amicable settlement and as such, it would be in the interest of both the parties, if the instant matter is referred to the mediation.

Accordingly, in view of the above, with the consent of the parties, Mr. G.D. Verma, Senior Advocate, is requested to act as Mediator in the present case. The Administrator-



2025:HHC:40377

:: 2 :: RSA No.40/2022

Coordinator, Main Mediation Centre, H.P. High Court, Shimla, shall render all required assistance to the learned Mediator and contact him today itself i.e. 3rd January, 2023, so that he may fix date as per his convenience and parties are called for the settlement of the disputable questions, if any. Learned counsel representing the parties undertake to appear before the learned Mediator today itself.

(Sandeep Sharma)
Judge

3rd January, 2023
(CS)



2025:HHC:40377

Harmohinder Singh & Ors. vs. Kamlesh Kumari & anr.

COPC No.269/2019

3.1.2023

Present: Mr. Rajesh Kumar, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Arsh Rattan, Advocate, for the respondents.

Learned counsel representing the respondents prays for and is granted six weeks' time to file Power of Attorney, as Mr. Anup Rattan, Advocate has now been appointed as learned Advocate General. List after six weeks.

(Sandeep Sharma)
Judge

3rd January, 2023
(CS)



2025:HHC:40377

Nand Lal vs. Hukmiya & ors.

CMPMO No.673/2022

3.1.2023

Present: Mr. G.R. Palsra, Advocate, for the petitioners.

CMP No.673/2022 & CMP No.18370/2022

Issue notice to the respondents returnable within
four weeks, on taking steps within one weeks. List thereafter.

(Sandeep Sharma)
Judge

3rd January, 2023
(CS)



2025:HHC:40377

Hari Krishan @ Ram Krishan vs. State of H.P & anr.

Cr. MMO No.1305/2022

3.1.2023

Present: Ms. Anita Jalota, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. B.C. Verma and Mr. Rajan Kahol, Addl. AGs, for respondent No.1/State.

By way of instant petition filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, prayer has been made on behalf of the petitioner for quashing of FIR No.89/2014, dated 1.12.2014, under Sections 341, 342, 323, 324, 326, 506 of IPC, registered at Police Station, Arki, District Solan, Himachal Pradesh as well as consequent proceedings, if any, pending in the competent Court of law, on the basis of amicable settlement arrived inter se parties. Though, compromise placed on record suggests that the parties have resolved to settle their dispute amicably inter se them, but this Court with a view to ascertain the correctness and genuineness of the compromise placed on record, deems it fit to summon respondent No.2 for the next date of hearing, so that factum with regard to compromise placed on record, is ascertained. List on 11.1.2023, on which date respondent No.2 shall remain present in Court. Learned Counsel representing the petitioner undertakes to cause presence of respondents No.2 on the aforesaid date. In the meanwhile, learned Additional Advocate General also to ascertain the aforesaid factum.

**(Sandeep Sharma)
Judge**

3rd January, 2023
(CS)



2025:HHC:40377

Vishal Garg vs. Deepak Dhamla & anr.

Cr. Revision No.728/2022

3.1.2023

Present: Mr. Nimish Gupta, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. B.C. Verma and Mr. Rajan Kahol, Addl. AGs, for
respondent No.2/State.

Cr. Revision No.728/2022

Notice. Mr. Rajan Kahol, learned Additional Advocate
General, appears and waives service of notice on behalf of
respondent No.2. Separate notice be issued to respondent No.1
returnable within six weeks, on taking steps within one week.

Cr. MP No.4192/2022

Notice in the aforesaid terms. Reply, if any, on or
before the next date of hearing. In the meantime, substantive
sentence imposed by the learned Court below shall remain
suspended, subject to applicant's depositing 50% of the
compensation amount and furnishing personal bonds in the sum
of Rs.25,000/- (rupees twenty five thousand only) with the one
surety of the like amount to the satisfaction of the trial Court
within a period of six weeks.

Copy dasti.

**(Sandeep Sharma)
Judge**

3rd January, 2023
(CS)



2025:HHC:40377

Rajinder Kumar vs. Mehak Chemicals Pvt. Ltd & Ors.

CMP No.18033/2022 in FAO No.307/2007

3.1.2023

Present: Mr. Rajesh Kumar, Advocate, for the applicant.

By way of instant application, prayer has been made on behalf of the applicant-appellant for release of amount lying deposited before this Court.

Issue notice to the non-applicants/respondents returnable for 13th January, 2023, on taking steps during the course of the day.

(Sandeep Sharma)
Judge

3rd January, 2023
(CS)



2025:HHC:40377

Rakesh Chand & Ors. vs. State of H.P & ors.

CWP No.6426/2021

3.1.2023

Present: Mr. Bhuvnesh Sharma, Sr. Advocate with Mr. Parv Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. B.C. Verma and Mr. Rajan Kahol, Addl. AGs, for the respondents/State.

Rejoinder stands filed. As prayed for, list on 14th March, 2023.

**(Sandeep Sharma)
Judge**

3rd January, 2023
(CS)



2025:HHC:40377

Waseem Ahmed vs. State of H.P & anr.

Cr. MMO No.1289/2022

3.1.2023

Present: Mr. Dheeraj K. Vashisht,, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. B.C. Verma and Mr. Rajan Kahol, Addl. AGs, for respondent No.1/State.

By way of instant petition filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, prayer has been made on behalf of the petitioner for quashing of FIR No.312/2019, dated 22.10.2019, under Sections 279, 337 and 338 of IPC, registered at Police Station, Paonta Sahib, District Sirmour, Himachal Pradesh as well as consequent proceedings, if any, pending in the competent Court of law, on the basis of amicable settlement arrived inter se parties. Though, compromise placed on record suggests that the parties have resolved to settle their dispute amicably inter se them, but this Court with a view to ascertain the correctness and genuineness of the compromise placed on record, deems it fit to summon respondent No.2 for the next date of hearing, so that factum with regard to compromise placed on record, is ascertained. List on 06.1.2023, on which date respondent No.2 shall remain present in Court. Learned Counsel representing the petitioner undertakes to cause presence of respondents No.2 on the aforesaid date. In the meanwhile, learned Additional Advocate General also to ascertain the aforesaid factum.

(Sandeep Sharma)
Judge

3rd January, 2023
(CS)

**Mohmmad Nafish & ors. vs. State of H.P & anr.**Cr. MMO No.1279/2022

3.1.2023

Present: Mr. Suresh Singh Saini, Advocate, for the petitioners.
Mr. B.C. Verma and Mr. Rajan Kahol, Addl. AGs, for respondent No.1/State.

By way of instant petition filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, prayer has been made on behalf of the petitioner for quashing of FIR No.342/2020 dated 11.9.2020, under Sections 341, 323, 427 and 34 of IPC, registered at Police Station, Sunder Nagar District Mandi, Himachal Pradesh as well as consequent proceedings, if any, pending in the competent Court of law, on the basis of amicable settlement arrived *inter se* parties. Though, compromise placed on record suggests that the parties have resolved to settle their dispute amicably inter se them, but this Court with a view to ascertain the correctness and genuineness of the compromise placed on record, deems it fit to summon respondent No.2 for the next date of hearing, so that factum with regard to compromise placed on record, is ascertained. List on 10.1.2023, on which date respondent No.2 shall remain present in Court. Learned Counsel representing the petitioner undertakes to cause presence of respondents No.2 on the aforesaid date. In the meanwhile, learned Additional Advocate General also to ascertain the aforesaid factum.

(Sandeep Sharma)
Judge

3rd January, 2023
(CS)

**Mohammad Ali & ors. vs. State of H.P & anr.**Cr. MMO No.1278/2022

3.1.2023 Present: Mr. Suresh Singh Saini, Advocate, for the petitioners.
Mr. B.C. Verma and Mr. Rajan Kahol, Addl. AGs, for respondent No.1/State.

By way of instant petition filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, prayer has been made on behalf of the petitioner for quashing of FIR No.341/2020 dated 11.9.2020, under Sections 147, 148, 149, 323 and 506 of IPC, registered at Police Station, Sunder Nagar District Mandi, Himachal Pradesh as well as consequent proceedings, if any, pending in the competent Court of law, on the basis of amicable settlement arrived *inter se* parties. Though, compromise placed on record suggests that the parties have resolved to settle their dispute amicably *inter se* them, but this Court with a view to ascertain the correctness and genuineness of the compromise placed on record, deems it fit to summon respondent No.2 for the next date of hearing, so that factum with regard to compromise placed on record, is ascertained. List on 10.1.2023, on which date respondent No.2 shall remain present in Court. Learned Counsel representing the petitioner undertakes to cause presence of respondents No.2 on the aforesaid date. In the meanwhile, learned Additional Advocate General also to ascertain the aforesaid factum.

(Sandeep Sharma)
Judge

3rd January, 2023
(CS)



2025:HHC:40377

Tilak Raj vs. State of H.P & ors.

CWP No.3012/2022

3.1.2023

Present: Mr. M.L. Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. B.C. Verma and Mr. Rajan Kahol, Addl. AGs, for respondents/State.

CMP No.18314/2022

Learned Additional Advocate General prays for and
is granted four weeks' time to file reply. List thereafter.

(Sandeep Sharma)
Judge

3rd January, 2023
(CS)



2025:HHC:40377

Jai Ram vs. Maya Ram

Cr. Revision No.557/2022

3.1.2023

Present: Mr. Gurinder Singh Parmar, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Ajay Thakur, Advocate vice Mr. Sarthak Mehta, Advocate, for the respondent.

Cr. MP No.3144/2022

In terms of order dated 15.10.2022, 50% of the compensation amount stands deposited in the Registry of this Court as well as learned trial Court and as such, interim order dated 15.10.2022 is made absolute. The application stands disposed of.

Cr. Revision No.557/2022

Since the petitioner is ready and willing to make the entire payment of compensation and for that purpose, he prays for and is granted eight weeks' time to deposit/pay the same to the respondent/complainant. 50% of the compensation amount lying deposited in the Registry of this Court as well as learned trial Court (Rs.42,000/- in the Registry of this Court and Rs.78,000/- before the learned trial Court) are ordered to be released in favour of the respondent/complainant, by remitting the same in his Saving Bank Account, details whereof shall be furnished by learned counsel representing the respondent/complainant within a period of one week.

List after eight weeks.

(Sandeep Sharma)
Judge

3rd January, 2023 (CS)



2025:HHC:40377

Lekh Raj vs. Rajnessh

CMP No.15185/2022 in COPC No.180/2022

3.1.2023

Present: Mr. Karan Singh Parmar, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. B.C. Verma and Mr. Rajan Kahol, Addl. AGs, for respondents/State.

Learned Additional Advocate General prays for and is granted one week's time to file compliance report. List on 12th January, 2023.

(Sandeep Sharma)
Judge

3rd January, 2023
(CS)



2025:HHC:40377

Anand Singh vs. State of H.P.

Cr. Appeal No.4/2022

3.1.2023 Present: Mr. Karan Singh Kanwar, Advocate, for the appellant.
Mr. B.C. Verma and Mr. Rajan Kahol, Addl. AGs, for respondents/State.

Cr. MP No.4149/2022

Learned Additional Advocate General prays for and is granted three weeks' time to file reply. List on 10th February, 2023.

(Sandeep Sharma)
Judge

3rd January, 2023
(CS)



2025:HHC:40377

Om Krishan vs. State of H.P.

Cr. MP (M) No.2823/2022

3.1.2023

Present: Mr. Sunil Kumar, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. B.C. Verma and Mr. Rajan Kahol, Addl. AGs, for respondents/State.
ASI Hem Raj, Police Station Karsog, District Mandi, present alongwith the records.

By way of instant petition under Section 439 Cr. PC, prayer has been made on behalf of the petitioner for grant of bail in case FIR No.10/2022, dated 19.1.2022, under Sections 20, 29 of the NDPS Act, registered at Police Station Karsog, District Mandi. Learned counsel representing the petitioner states that the petitioner is suffering from low eye vision on account of Sugar and High blood pressure and as such, he is required to be taken to hospital for his treatment. Though, having taken note of the fact that commercial quantity of contraband i.e. 5.5 kg. has been recovered from the possession of the petitioner, there appears to be no reason to consider the request made on behalf of the petitioner for grant of bail, but yet this Court deems it fit to direct learned Additional Advocate General to ascertain factum with regard to illness of bail petitioner, so that prayer made on his behalf for grant of bail on medical ground, if any, is considered on the next date of hearing.

List on 10th January, 2023, on which date, Investigating Officer shall file an affidavit answering the aforesaid query.

**(Sandeep Sharma)
Judge**

3rd January, 2023
(CS)



2025:HHC:40377

Ram Parkash vs. Ambitabh Awasthi

COPC No.220/2022

3.1.2023

Present: Ms. Archna Dutt, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. B.C. Verma and Mr. Rajan Kahol, Addl. AGs, for respondents/State.
Mr. Naveen K. Bhardwaj, Advocate, for respondent No.2.
Ms. Nisha Kashyap, Dy. Secretary (Horticulture) Government of Himachal Pradesh, present in person.

While placing on record communication dated 31st December 2022, issued under the signatures of Secretary (Horticulture) to the Government of Himachal Pradesh, learned Additional Advocate General states that judgment alleged to have been violated stands duly implemented by respondent No.1, subject to final outcome of LPA and further action for implementation of the judgment is required to be taken by respondent No.3, who has been conveyed the approval of the Government, subject to LPA No.145/2022.

Learned Additional Advocate General on behalf of respondent No.3 undertakes to comply with the judgment within a period of four weeks, failing which, respondent No.3 shall remain present in Court on the next date of hearing to explain that why contempt proceedings be not initiated against him for intentionally and willfully disobeying the judgment alleged to have been violated.

List on 10th March, 2023.

Notice issued to Ms. Nisha Kashyap, Dy. Secretary, (Horticulture) Government of Himachal Pradesh, is discharged.

(Sandeep Sharma)
Judge

3rd January, 2023
(CS)



COPC No.413/2022

Present: Mr. Rakesh K. Dogra, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Ms. Shubh Mahajan, Advocate, for the respondents.

While placing on record communication dated 26.11.2022, Ms. Shubh Mahahan, learned counsel representing the respondents states that steps stand already taken for implementation of the judgment in letter and spirit. She further states that all necessary consequential benefits in terms of judgment dated 4th August, 2022, shall be released within six weeks.

Let needful be done well before the next date of hearing, failing which, erring Official shall remain present in the Court.

List on 15th March, 2023.

(Sandeep Sharma)
Judge

9th January, 2023
(CS)



2025:HHC:40377

Kheera Ram & Ors. vs. Randhir Dhadwal & anr

RSA No.295/2022

9.1.2023 Present: Mr. Panku Chaudhary, Advocate vice Mr. Varun Chandel, Advocate, for the appellant.

Mr. Y.P. Sood, Advocate, for respondent No.1.

As jointly prayed for, list after winter vacation.

(Sandeep Sharma)
Judge

9th January, 2023
(CS)



RSA No.154/2022

Present: Mr. N.K. Tomar, Advocate, for the appellants.
Mr. Y.P. Sood, Advocate, for the respondent.

CMP No.8480/2022

Reply to the application stands filed. Learned counsel representing the applicants/appellants prays for and is granted four weeks' time to file rejoinder. List on 3rd March, 2023.

(Sandeep Sharma)
Judge

9th January, 2023
(CS)



2025:HHC:40377

Banka Devi & ors. vs. Watuli Devi

RSA No.154/2022

9.1.2023

Present: Mr. Y.P. Sood, Advocate, for the appellants.

Mr. Ravinder Singh, Advocate, for the respondent.

CMP No.7836/2022

Reply to the application is stated to have been filed in the Registry, however, the same is not on record. Registry is directed to trace and place the same on record. List after four weeks. Till then, interim order to continue.

(Sandeep Sharma)
Judge

9th January, 2023
(CS)



2025:HHC:40377

Mehul Sukumaran vs. Superintendent of Police, CBI & anr.

Cr. MMO No.120/2022

9.1.2023

Present:

Mr. Vikrant Thakur, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Anshul Bansal, Advocate, for the respondents.

As jointly prayed for, list on 29th March, 2023.

(Sandeep Sharma)
Judge

9th January, 2023
(CS)



CMPMO No.279/2020

List on 10th March, 2023.

9th January, 2023
(CS)



2025:HHC:40377

Chand Thakur vs. Vinod Kumar & anr.

CMPMO No.428/2019

9.1.2023

Present: Mr. Vedant Kumar, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. V.S. Chauhan, Sr. Advocate with Mr. Ajay Kashyap, Advocate, for respondent No.1.

Notice issued to respondent No.2 for 21.12.2022 has been received back unserved with the report that notice was not received well in time. Learned counsel representing the petitioner prays for and is granted two days' time to take steps for the service of respondent No.2 and thereafter issued notice to the said respondent returnable for 9th March, 2023.

**(Sandeep Sharma)
Judge**

9th January, 2023
(CS)



2025:HHC:40377

Nirmla Devi vs. B.K. Aggarwal & anr.

COPC No.10/2019

9.1.2023

Present: Ms. Komal Chaudhary, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. B.C. Verma and Mr. Rajan Kahol, Addl. AGs, for
respondent No.1/State.
Mr. Nitin Thakur, Advocate, for respondent No.2.

Learned counsel representing the petitioner prays for
and is granted three weeks' time to move an application for
permission to place on record additional documents. List
thereafter.

(Sandeep Sharma)
Judge

9th January, 2023
(CS)



2025:HHC:40377

Rattan Singh vs. Som Dutt

CMPMO No.648/2022

9.1.2023 Present: Mr. Vinod Thakur, Advocate vice Mr. Mohar Singh,
Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ajay Sharma, Sr. Advocate with Mr. Ajay Thakur,
Advocate, for respondents No.1 to 3.

Since learned vice counsel representing the petitioner
states that original counsel is unable to come present on account
of bereavement in the family, this matter may be adjourned to
some other date. Prayer allowed. List on 12th January, 2023.

(Sandeep Sharma)
Judge

9th January, 2023
(CS)



2025:HHC:40377

Mahender Singh vs. State of H.P & anr.

Cr. MMO No.41/2023

9.1.2023 Present: Mr. Tara Singh Chauhan, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. B.C. Verma and Mr. Rajan Kahol, Addl. AGs, for respondent No.1/State.

Cr. MMO No.41/2023 & Cr. MP No.117/2023

Notice. Mr. Rajan Kahol, learned Additional Advocate General, waives service of notice on behalf of respondent No.1. Issue notice to respondent No.2 returnable for 28th March, 2023, on taking steps within one week.

Cr. MP No.118/2023

Allowed, as prayed for. Application stands disposed of.

**(Sandeep Sharma)
Judge**

9th January, 2023
(CS)



2025:HHC:40377

Ved Parkash Dhingra vs. State of H.P & anr.

Cr. MMO No.28/2023

9.1.2023

Present: Mr. Y.P. Sood, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. B.C. Verma and Mr. Rajan Kahol, Addl. AGs, for respondent No.1/State.

By way of instant petition filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, prayer has been made on behalf of the petitioner for quashing of FIR No.29/2022, dated 19.9.2022 under Sections 323, 498-A, 406, 506 and 34 of IPC, registered at Women Police Station, Una, District Una, Himachal Pradesh as well as consequent proceedings, if any, pending in the competent Court of law, on the basis of amicable settlement arrived inter se parties. Though, compromise placed on record suggests that the parties have resolved to settle their dispute amicably inter se them, but this Court with a view to ascertain the correctness and genuineness of the compromise placed on record, deems it fit to summon respondent No.2 for the next date of hearing, so that factum with regard to compromise placed on record, is ascertained. List on 12.1.2023.

Issue *dasti* notice to respondent No.2 returnable for 12th January, 2023, on taking steps during the course of day. In the meanwhile, learned Additional Advocate General also to ascertain the aforesaid factum.

(Sandeep Sharma)
Judge

9th January, 2023
(CS)



Cr. Revision No.9/2023

Cr. Revision No.9/2023

Cr. MP No.122/2023

Copy dasti.

9th January, 2023
(CS)



CWP No.142/2022

Present: Mr. Arsh Rattan, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Saurabh Kumar, Advocate, for the respondent.
CMP No.426/2023

CWP No.142/2022

(Sandeep Sharma)
Judge

9th January, 2023
(CS)



Civil Suit No.96/2021

Present: Mr. Ajay Vaidya, Advocate, for the plaintiff.

OMP No.7/2023

As prayed for, list on 2023.

9th January, 2023
(CS)



CWPOA No.3806/2019

(Sandeep Sharma)
Judge

9th January, 2023
(CS)



CWPOA No.41/2020

(Sandeep Sharma)
Judge

9th January, 2023
(CS)



CWPOA No.7150/2019

(Sandeep Sharma)
Judge

9th January, 2023
(CS)



2025:HHC:40377

Mast Ram vs. State of H.P & ors. a/w connected matters.

CWP No.1272/2018 with CWP Nos.1266 and 1579/2018

9.1.2023 Present: Mr. Virender Thakur, Advocate, for the petitioner(s).

Mr. B.C. Verma and Mr. Rajan Kahol, Addl. AGs, for the respondents/State.

Mr. B.C. Negi, Sr. Advocate with Mr. Sunil Mohan Goel, Advocate, for respondent No.4/non-applicants.

CMP No.17086/2022

Though, reply to the application stands filed on behalf of non-applicants/respondent No.4, but counsel representing the parties state that instead of deciding the present application, main matter be heard at the earliest.

In view of this, Registry is directed to list the main matter for final hearing on 14th March, 2023.

(Sandeep Sharma)
Judge

9th January, 2023
(CS)