



Surinder Paul Vs. State of H.P. & another

CWP No.8684 of 2026

29.05.2026 Present: Mr. Vivek Thakur, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. B.C. Verma, Additional Advocate General,
for the respondents.

CWP No.8684 of 2026 & CMP No.12665 of 2026

Notice. Mr. B.C. Verma, learned Additional Advocate General, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

The petitioner is aggrieved by Annexure P-1, in terms whereof, his representation for the transfer from the station where he is serving, which is a Sub-Cadre Area, has been rejected on the ground that the request was made by the petitioner during the Mid-Academic Session. Learned Counsel submits that in terms of the impugned order the case of the petitioner was rejected on the ground that as it was Mid-Academic Session, he should approach after the Academic Session was over. Thereafter, the petitioner again approached the Authority by way of representation vide Annexure P-3, but till date nothing has been done by the respondents.



2026:HHC:23259

Learned Additional Advocate General to have instructions in the matter that why the petitioner was not accommodated after the Academic Session was over.

Learned Additional Advocate General is directed to have positive instruction by the next date of hearing qua the transfer of the petitioner to a station of his choice. As prayed for, list on **19.06.2026**.

Ajay Mohan Goel)
Judge

May 29, 2026
(Rishi)