

Urgyan Dorje vs. UOI and others

CWP No. 8934 of 2023

19.05.2025 Present: Mr. C.D. Negi, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Shashi Shirshoo, Advocate for respondents No. 1 to 3-UOI.

Mr. Rajpal Thakur, Additional Advocate General for the respondents No. 4 and 5-State.

The grievance of the petitioners is that land of theirs, which has been utilized for the purpose of stabilization of hill stone at Skiba slide is not being acquired by the respondents in accordance with law and the petitioners are thus being deprived of the lawful compensation, to which they are entitled to. Though the description of the land is not there in the main writ petition, however, in the rejoinder, description thereof is given.

On the request of learned Counsel for the respondents, four weeks time is granted to them to file affidavits of the competent authority as to whether the land, details whereof are given in the prayer clause of the rejoinder, has actually been utilized for hill stabilization or not.

List on 25.06.2025.

**(Ajay Mohan Goel)**  
**Judge**

May 19, 2025  
(narender)