

Urgyan Dorge and others versus UOI and others
CWP No.8934 of 2023

06.05.2026 Present: Mr. C.D. Negi, Advocate, for petitioners.

Mr. Shashi Shirshoo, Central Government Standing Counsel, for respondents No. 1 to 3.
Ms. Seema Sharma, Deputy Advocate General, for respondents No. 4 & 5.

Following order was passed in this matter on
09.04.2026:-

“Pursuant to orders passed in this writ petition from time to time, a detailed report was submitted by the Deputy Commissioner, District Kinnaur at Reckong Peo on 23.09.2025 in terms of which, land of the petitioners involved in the writ petition falls under direct/ indirect usage of the road owning agency in connection with road works i.e. respondent No.3. Petitioners grievance relates to non-acquisition of their land by the respondents thereby denying them compensation for the use of their land by respondent No.3. Despite repeated orders passed in the matter, learned Central Government Standing Counsel has not been able to place on record any instructions/response of the respondents to the aforesaid report. In the circumstances, let respondent No.3 to remain present in the Court on next date of hearing.

List on 06.05.2026.”

Respondent No.3 has not attended the hearing.
Mr.Pankaj Kumar, J.E. (Civil) attended the hearing and apprised that respondent No.3 can remain present in the Court after one week.

List on 29.05.2026 in terms of previous order.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua
Judge

May 06, 2026
(yogesh)