



IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH
AT SHIMLA

CWPOA No.468 of 2019
Decided on : 26.11.2025

Sunil Chand

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Himachal Pradesh and others

....Respondents

Coram

Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ranjan Sharma, Judge

¹ *Whether approved for reporting? No.*

For the petitioner: Mr. Abhinav M. Goel, Advocate.

For the respondents: Mr. Sumit Sharma, Deputy
Advocate General, for respondents
No.1 and 2-State.

Mr. Vijay Chaudhary, Advocate,
for respondents No.3 to 6.

Ranjan Sharma, Judge

Mr. Abhinav M. Goel, Learned Counsel,
on *Instructions* received from petitioner [*Sunil Chand*]
states that due to intervening and subsequent events,
coupled with the fact that petitioner stands promoted,
petitioner is not interested to pursue with
instant proceedings. [*Statement is taken on record*]

2. Prayer being innocuous, is not opposed.

3. Accordingly, in terms of the Statement of
Learned Counsel for petitioner, the instant petition
is disposed of as infructuous alongwith all pending

¹ *Whether reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*



2025:HHC:401

2025:HHC:40187

2

miscellaneous application(s), if any.

(Ranjan Sharma)
Judge

November 26, 2025
[Bhardwaj]