

**Renu Chandel v. State of H.P. & Ors.
CWP No.8498 of 2026**

26.05.2026 Present: Ms. Reeta Hingmang, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Pushpinder Jaswal, Additional Advocate General, for respondent No.1 and 2.

Ms. Deepa, Advocate, vice Mr. Vishwajeet Singh, Advocate, for respondent No.3.

Issue notice. Mr. Pushpinder Jaswal, learned Additional Advocate General and Ms. Deepa, Advocate, accept notice on behalf of respondent No.1, respondents No.2 and 3, respectively.

The grievance of the petitioner is that her candidature has been wrongly rejected for consideration against the post of English Teacher, which was advertised in terms of advertisement No.02 of 2026 dated 29.01.2026 (Annexure P-1). Ms. Reeta Hingmang, learned counsel, has referred to the documents appended with the petition, including the advertisement and has submitted that in terms of the advertisement, eligibility criteria was MA English with 50% marks in Graduation with B.Ed and there was a further stipulation that the applicant must have passed graduation, including B.Ed with a minimum of 50% marks and 55% marks in English at Graduation level. She submitted that despite the fact that the petitioner was

fulfilling the above mentioned eligibility criteria, her candidature, as per her instructions, has been arbitrarily rejected on the ground that she was not having an aggregate of 55% marks in BA-I, BA-II and BA-III.

She submitted that this is highly arbitrary, because, the advertisement never envisaged that the aggregate of three years was to be seen.

Prima facie, as the Court concurs with the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner, therefore, the respondents are called upon to have complete instructions by the next date of hearing as to why the candidature of the petitioner has been rejected.

List on 01.06.2026.

(Ajay Mohan Goel)
Judge

May 26, 2026
(*Vinod*)