

IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH AT SHIMLA

Ex. Pet (T) No.181 of 2024

Decided on: 03.11.2025

Nisha Sharma

...Petitioner

Versus

State of H.P. and Anr

...Respondents

Coram

Ms. Justice Jyotsna Rewal Dua

¹ *Whether approved for reporting?*

For the petitioner:

Mr. Paras Dhaulta, Advocate.

For the respondents:

Mr. Y.P.S. Dhaulta, Additional
Advocate General for respondent
No.1.

Mr. C.N.Singh, Advocate for
respondent No.2.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua, Judge

On account of pendency of Special Leave Petition against the judgment sought to be executed, at the request of learned counsel for the respondents, the hearings in this execution petition have been deferred from time to time. Learned counsel for the parties have jointly informed that the judgment sought to be enforced in this execution petition is still operative and has not been stayed by the Hon'ble Apex

¹ *Whether reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment? Yes*

Court in the Special Leave Petitions preferred against them. Considering that judgment sought to be executed is still in operation, accordingly, this execution petition is disposed of with directions to the respondents to implement the decision within three weeks, however, this shall abide by the orders passed by the Hon'ble Apex Court in the Special Leave Petitions preferred against the judgment.

Pending miscellaneous applications, if any, shall also stand disposed of.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua
Judge

November 03, 2025

R.Atal