



CWP No.159 of 2016

13.07.2016. Present: Ms.Kamlesh Shandil, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr.Shrawan Dogra, Advocate General, with Mr.Anup Rattan & Mr.Romesh Verma, Addl.A.Gs., and Mr.J.K. Verma, Dy.A.G., for respondent No.1.

Ms.Sharmila Patial, Advocate, for respondent No.2.

Ms.Sharmila Patial, Advocate, stated that respondent No.2 has already filed the filed. She further stated that inadvertently in the reply, it has been mentioned as 'reply on behalf of respondents No.1 and 2', and prayed that it be treated as reply on behalf of respondent No.2 only. Prayer allowed and ordered accordingly.

Mr.Romesh Verma, learned Additional Advocate General, stated that the reply filed by respondent No.2 be treated as reply on behalf of respondent No.1 also. His statement is taken on record. Ordered accordingly.

Learned counsel for the petitioner stated that she has filed the rejoinder in the Registry. The Registry is directed to trace and place the same on record.

List on 2nd November, 2016.

(Mansoor Ahmad Mir)
Chief Justice.

July 13, 2016
(Tilak)

(Sandeep Sharma)
Judge