



2026:HHC:23223

IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

CWP No.9784 of 2026

Decided on: 19th June, 2026

The District Ayush Officer Bilaspur

.....**Petitioner**

Versus

Bangali Ram and others

.....**Respondents**

Coram

Ms. Justice Jyotsna Rewal Dua

Whether approved for reporting?¹

For the Petitioner: Mr. Pawanish Kr. Shukla, Advocate.

For the Respondents: Nemo for respondent No.1.

Mr. Anup Rattan, Advocate General
with Mr. Rajat Choudhry, Assistant
Advocate General, for respondents No.2
and 3.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua, Judge

Petitioner feels aggrieved against the order dated 18.09.2025 (Annexure P-3) passed by the Deputy Labour Commissioner-cum-Appellate Authority, Himachal Pradesh under Section 7(7) of the Payment of Gratuity Act, 1972, ordering payment of gratuity in favour of respondent No.1 in terms thereof.

2. Learned counsel for the parties jointly submit that facts of this case are almost similar to the facts of

¹Whether reporters of print and electronic media may be allowed to see the order? Yes.



State of H.P. & Ors. Versus Binu Ram², which, in turn, was based upon **Bindumati Versus State of H.P. and others**³. Decision in *Bindumati*³ is stated to have been accepted and implemented by the respondents therein. Learned counsel further submits that the issue of law involved in the instant case is the same as was involved in *Binu Ram*² & *Bindumati*³ and further that the same is covered against the petitioner under the aforesaid decisions.

Accordingly, on the analogy of the decisions in **Binu Ram**² & **Bindumati**³ and following the reasoning therein, this writ petition is dismissed. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

June 19, 2026
Mukesh

Jyotsna Rewal Dua
Judge

² CWP No.15443 of 2024, decided on 24.02.2026

³ CWP No.4632 of 2025, decided on 07.11.2025