

Ramesh and others vs. Lachhu

CMPMO No. 226 of 2026

29.05.2026 Present: Mr. Neeraj Gupta, Sr. Advocate with Mr. Ajeet Pal Singh Jaswal, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. K.B. Khajuria, Advocate, for the respondent.

It is contended by the learned counsel for the petitioners that specific objection was taken by the petitioners/defendants in the written statement, especially in para 2 of the preliminary objection that the road in question was constructed with the consent of land owners, therefore, the suit instituted by the respondent/plaintiff is not maintainable. He further submits that the said fact has not been taken into consideration by the learned First Appellate Court while allowing the appeal as filed by the respondent/plaintiff.

Faced with above situation, learned counsel for the respondent contends that NOC which was granted by the plaintiff/respondent pertains to some other land. On his request, list the case on 03.06.2026.

**(Romesh Verma)
Judge**

**May 29, 2026
(veena)**